THE ART OF LOGICK.

Gathered out of *Aristotle*, and set in due forme, according to his instructions, by *Peter Ramus*, Professor of *Philosophy* and *Rhetorick* in *Paris*, and there Martyred for the Gospell of the *Lord Iesus*.

With a short Exposition of the Præcepts, by which any one of indifferent *capacitie, may with a little paines*, attaine to some competent knowledge and vse of that noble *and necessary Science*.

Published for the Instruction of the vnlearned, *by* ANTONY WOTTON.

LONDON
Printed by *I. D.* for *Nicholas Bourne*, and are to be sold at his shop at the Exchange. 1626.

BC61
L2
Alice

TO THE
HONORABLE
L. IAMES,
Viſcount Doncaſter.

HONORABLE LORD;

IT is my deſire and purpoſe by this Treatiſe, to doe your moſt noble Grandfather the Lord *Denny*, ſome poore ſervice, by furthe-

ring your vertuous education. And whereby (except Religion) can that be more furthered, then by helping forward your *Lordſhips* perfection in the vſe of reaſon? It is that, which will enable you to judge of all Rules and Inſtructions in all matters, both great and ſmall: even in the managing of your horſe, in the vſe of your weapon, piece, and bow; yea, in the very comely cariage of your body. As for the knowledge of Arts, reading of Hiſtories, for Navigation, for Military affaires,

affaires, for matters of State, it is not poſſible for any man to know of what vſe it is, but for him, that hath the vſe of it. If it be thought too hard for your *Lordſhips* tender yeares, let it be layd by for a time. In the meane while, the acquainting of your ſelfe with the termes of the art, will both breed delight, and præparc you for the matter it ſelfe. But I haue many times obſerv'd in your *Lordſhip* diverſe ſignes of more then ordinary capacitie; and therefore aſſure my ſelfe, that as *Virgill*

Ante annos, animumq; gerens, curamq; virilem. Æneid. lib. 9.

ſayd of *Aſcanius*, your diſcretion and carriage are aboue your yeares. With this perſwaſion I make bold to dedicate this *Logick* to your *Lordſhip*, and vpon this ground humbly entreat and exhort you, to goe forward in thoſe noble courſes, wherein you are, to ſatisfie or rather paſſe the hope of your honourable father & grand-father; remembring thoſe Verſes, of the old *Britiſh Poet, Ioſeph* of *Exceſter*:

Mento caneſcant alij, nos mente; capillo,
Nos animo: facie, nos pectore. Tempora certe
Virtutẽ non prima negant, non vltima donãt.

Let

Let others beards, our braines waxe gray and graue:
Their hairs, our harts: their looks, our minds be braue:
Both age may want: and youth may vertue haue.

The King of heaven encreaſe his graces in your *Lordſhip*, and ſanctifie all your noble parts, with the zeale of true Religion, and godlines, to your comfort here, and everlaſting happines hereafter in the Lord Ieſus Chriſt; to whoſe rich mercy I commend your good *Lordſhip*, and ſhall ever reſt

Deſirous to doe your Lord-
ſhip *ſervice.*

Tower-hill.
May. 1.
1626.

ANTONY WOTTON.

¶ To the Reader.

IN ſtead of a Præface, *which (if it had pleaſed the* Lord *to giue me health and eaſe) I was purpoſed to haue ſet before this* Logicke, *concerning the vſe thereof; I muſt now content my ſelfe, and entreat thee* (Reader) *to be content with a ſhort Aduertiſement of the occaſion and courſe of the buſineſſe.*

Now the occaſion was, the neceſſity I conceiu'd of perſwading men (if it may be) to enter into a more ſerious conſideration of truth; eſpecially in matters of Religion. I ob-

obſerv'd, that true and ſound learning decayed daily; that froth of wit, and ſhew of reading, like a violent ſtreame, caryed all before them: that moſt men accounted of Logick, as Ahab did of Micah: Whom he could not abide, becauſe he would not ſooth him in his courſes, but tell him plainly and boldly, as the truth was. Logick will not ſuffer men to continue in errour, be it never ſo old and rooted, haue it never ſo many favourers and defenders. Therefore they, that loue the opinions, they haue long embraced, will not endure to ſee by Logick, that they haue bin miſtaken.

Yet I perſwaded my ſelfe, that it might be, ſome would be willing to preferre truth before cuſtome, and rather to beſtow paines in weighing & trying, then take that which was counterfeit vpon truſt with eaſe. This made me appoint my elder

der ſonne in Cambridge *to tranſlate this* Logick (*whereof I had found ſo good vſe by more then fortie yeares experience*) *into* Engliſh, *for the benefit of them that vnderſtand not the* Latin. *He accordingly diſpatcht the buſineſſe, and withall added many examples, eſpecially out of the Scripture, with which he knew people were generally beſt acquainted. The expoſition was taken* (*for the moſt part*) *out of certaine notes, which I delivered him in* Latin, *before his going to the Vniverſitie.*

They that will beſtow any indifferent paines, at ſpare houres, to read and compare the rules, examples, and expoſition together, ſhall find ſuch helpe for the diſcerning of truth from falſhood, as without tryall, they will not eaſily beleeue. If any thing ſeem not of vſe at the firſt, paſſe it over, & goe forward to that which

which you may easily know how to make vse of at the first sight. I confesse, the former part touching arguments, is lesse delightfull and easie: yet of such necessitie to soundnesse of iudgement, that without it our guesse will be very vncertaine.

For all our knowledge ordinarily (except that, which we haue bred in vs by nature, or receiue by præsent sense) ariseth out of seuerall Propositions: Whereof how shall we be able to iudge, if we vnderstand not what respects things haue each to other? For example. Man is a liuing creature, endued with reason. In this propositiõ, or sentence, I first enquire; whether it be true or no: secondly, what knowledge I attaine to thereby. Now for the former, how shall I know, whether this be true or no, that is, whether reason be truly attributed to man, or no; if I be ignorant, what arguments

man

man *and* reaſon *are; that is, what reſpect theſe haue each to other? When I haue learned, that* reaſon *is the forme, and* man *the thing formed, I vnderſtand withall, that I know the very nature of man.*

Againe; When I heare that Man *is a* ſinner, *& haue found that* man *and* ſinne, *are* ſubject *&* adjunct, *I vnderſtand, that* ſin *is truely affirmed of* man, *and that the knowledge I haue of him thereby, is but onely of that, which is added to him beſides his nature: and that therefore this knowledge is vnperfect, and vnable to giue me true intelligence what man is.*

But ſome man perhaps will ſay: Can you know by Logick, *that* reaſon *is the forme,* ſinne *an* adjunct *of* man? *Not immediately, but as it were at the ſecond hand. I muſt enquire of naturall Philoſophy, how* reaſon *belongs to* man. *By that I*

am

am taught, that Reaſon *is that, by which* man *is* man, *and differeth from all other things whatſoever. This being found, I looke into* Logick *to ſee what agreement they haue together. There I am given to vnderſtand, that the one (as I ſignified before) is the forme, the other the thing formed; and that therefore, they agree abſolutely as cauſe and effect, and giue me the true knowledge of the nature of man.*

That man *is a* ſinner, *I am inform'd by Diuinitie; and ſince* reaſon *and* ſinne *are not all one, I conclude, that this is not the forme of* man: *yea more, by applying the definition a cauſe to it, I perceiue it is no cauſe of him at all; becauſe he hath no part of his being by force of it: Therefore ſince it agrees to* man, *it muſt happen to him as an adiunct. It is then truly ſayd of* man, *but*

but in a lower degree, then reaſon *is, and ſo breeds more vnperfect knowledge of him.*

This I thought needfull to be ſet out by way of example, as ſhortly as well I could, that the vſe of Inven-tion *(which in moſt* Logicks *is either omitted, or ſlightly handled) might appeare to be worth our labour and induſtry.*

Here I will reſt my ſelfe for this time, and commending the ſucceſſe of this matter to the good bleſſing of God, ever reſt

Thine in all good offices
of this kind,

Tower-hill,
May 2.
1626.

ANTONY WOTTON.

THE ART OF LOGICK,

written by *Peter Ramus.*

Chapter I.

What Logick is?

[a] *Logick is an* [b] *Art of* [c] *reaſoning* [d] *well.* 2. *It is alſo called Dialectick:*

Præcept. 1.

[a] LOGICK] Λόγος in Greeke ſignifieth reaſon; λογικός belonging to; or concerning reaſon: ſo that Logick is employed on reaſon. [b] *An Art*] By Art, I meane

Expoſit.

meane, a frame as it were of præcepts or rules orderly set down, for instruction, in something profitable or vsefull for mankind. [c] *Reasoning*] Vsing reason, that is considering, debating, discourſing, disputing, eitther with our selues, or with other: by speaking, writing, meditating of any matter whatsoeuer. [d] *Well*] According as the true vse of reason requires, we should doe; whereas through ignorance wee many times mistake, and reason otherwise then we should. So then the summe of this is that, Logick is an orderly frame of rules, whereby we are taught, how to vse reason (for the manner of reasoning) as indeed it ought to be vsed. [e] *Dialectick*] Logick and Dialectick, are two names of the same thing. Logick is more common in English. Dialectick cõmeth from διαλέγεσθαι to reason.

CAP.

Chap. II.

Of the parts of Logick, and of the diuers kinds of arguments.

There are [a] *two parts of Logick* [b] { *Inuention, Iudgement.* } Præcept. 1.

[a] *Two*] Logick is the true picture of our naturall facultie in reasoning: wherein we doe no more, but either consider, what respect each seuerall thing hath to other, or joyne them together according to that respect; that wee may discerne betwixt truth and falshood. So that the parts of Logick are two, and no more. [b] *Parts*] These parts are as it were the members, whereof logick doth consist, as a man doth of a soule and a body.

[a] *Inuention is a* [b] *part of Logick; touching the finding out of arguments.* Præ. 2.

[a] *Inuention*] To invent, of the Latine Expos.

tine *inuenire* to find, is (ordinarily) to deuize, or find out by ſearching, and ſtudying. But this name is too skantie, and ſeemes only to conſcerne thoſe arguments which we call *third arguments, or middle termes*, See *lib:* 2 *cap.* 9. [b] *Part of Logick*] Inuention and Iudgements agree in this generall, that they are both parts of Logick, as the ſoule, and the body are both parts of a man. [c] *Finding out*] This finding out muſt not be conceiued to be the deuiſing of arguments to proue; but a declaring of the nature of ſeuerall arguments: Logick teacheth vs to find out arguments after a generall manner, by ſhewing vs the places, or heades whence we are to fetch the proofe, or declaration of a thing: and therefore this part of Logick, is called in Greeke by the Stoiks τοπικὴ, of τόπος a place.

There is no knowledge to be had of any thing, but by ſome argument of Logick. For whatſoeuer can proue or declare a thing, is either a cauſe, an effect, a ſubiect, or an adjunct; & ſo

ſo of the reſt. Now Logick teacheth what a cauſe, an effect, a ſubject, an adjunct is; what things are divers, what contray; what things in compariſon are equall, what vnequall, what greater, what leſſe, &c. And this it doth, onely by delivering generall rules.

An argument is [a] *that, which hath a* [b] *fitneſſe to* [c] *argue* [d] *ſomething.* Præ. 3.

[a] *That*] That what? will ſome man ſay. If you will, that thing. For I take that word thing in Engliſh to be as large; as τὸ ὄν καὶ μὴ ὄν, *ens & non ens*, in Greeke an Latine. [b] *A fitneſſe*] That is, which in its nature, doth declare, or make knowne. [c] *Argue*] Proue, declare, make knowne, ſet out; For ſome arguments, as ſimilitudes, haue no force to proue, but onely to declare, and ſet out a thing. [d] *Something*] Several argumẽts proue or declare ſeverall things; every argument ſomething or other; what this, or that argueth, is to be learned Expoſit.

in the particulars.

Præ 4. *Such are the* [a] *ſeverall* [b] *reſpects of things conſidered* [c] *alone and by* [d] *themſelues.*

Expoſit. [a] *Severall*] Not onely the middle termes, or third arguments, but every ſeverall reſpect, is an argument. [b] *Reſpects*] By reſpect I meane reference, or relation, that one hath to another As man referred to God, is an effect or creature; in relation to the place he dwelleth in, an adjunct: in reſpect of the worke hee doth, a cauſe of wealth, poverty; ſicknes, health a ſubiect. [c] *Alone*] Not coupled, or bound together in any propoſition. [d] *By themſelues*] It ſeemeth, this latter is put in to expound the former word Alone. Now that there may be ſuch a conſideration of arguments in Logick, it may appeare by the like in Grammar; where interpretation declareth the nature of nownes, verbs, adverbs, and conjunctions ſeverally: of which there is no vſe in ſpeech, but as they are joyned together

together in Syntaxe, or Construction.

An argument is {*Artificiall*, *Inartificiall.* — Præc. 5.

An [a] *artificiall argument, is that which argueth of it* [b] *selfe.* — 6.

[a] *Artificiall*] The termes are from *Aristotle* and *Quintilan*, but the signification is divers. — Expos.

[b] *Of it selfe*] By its owne nature: that hath in it selfe force to proue or declare.

And it is Primitiue, or Derivatiue. — Præc. 7.

These termes are already in vse, and knowne to Grammar Scholars. — Expos.

[a] *Primitiue, which is of it* [b] *selfe.* — Præc. 8.

[a] *Primitiue*] Of the Latine word *Primus*: Firſt, Primitiue arguments are firſt, both in nature, and order. — Expos.

[b] *Of it selfe*] which hath force to argue, not onely in it selfe, as all artificiall arguments haue, but also of it selfe; not of any other, whence it commeth.

And it is {*Positiue*, *Comparatiue.* — Præc. 9.

These are also Grammar words, and therefore reteyned.

Præc. 10. [a] *Positiue, which is* [b] *simply and* [c] *absolutely considered.*

Exposit. [a] *Positiue*] Put or set; of the Latine *ponere.* Because the positiue word, onely setteth downe, or delivereth the thing, without any adding of quantity: as *Good, Iust*, declare the quality of goodnesse, or justice; but *Better, Iuster* the comparatiues thereof, besides the quality of goodnes or justice, consider also the quantity, in comparison of some other, that is lesse good, or lesse just. [b] *Simply*] Barely, without any addition whatsoever; as in the former example. [c] *Absolutely*] From the Latine word *Absolvere* to free, as it were freed from all other considerations; the word seemes to be added for the expounding of the former.

Præc. 11. *And it* [a] *is Consentany or Dissentany.*

Exposit. [a] Every artificiall primitiue positiue

tiue argument is Conſentany, or Diſſentany.

A [a] *Conſentany argument is that, which agreeth with the thing it* [b] *argueth.* Præ. 12.

[a] *Conſentany*] Conſenting, of the Latine word *Conſentire*, to agree in the ſame ſenſe, feeling, vnderſtanding; generally to agree in whatſoever. [b] *It argueth*] Conſentany arguments declare a thing by agreeing with it, ſome way or other. Expoſit.

And it is Conſentany abſolutely, or after a ſort. Præc. 13.

There is full agreement betwixt ſome things, not ſo full betwixt other ſome; theſe agree abſolutely, theſe after a ſort. Expoſit.

Abſolutely; as the cauſe, and the effect. Præc. 14.

The cauſe and the effect agree without all exception, as you would ſay; not in ſome part reſpect onely; ſo that the one makes the other knowne Expoſit.

known as fully as may be; in a word absolutely; of *Absolvere* to make perfect.

Chap. III.

Of the efficient cause, as it is procreant, or conservant.

Prec. 1. *The [a] cause is [b] that, by [c] force whereof the [d] thing [e] is*

Expos. [a] The *cause*] A cause, if wee say *The cause*, our meaning is, The argument called a cause, is that &c. [b] *That*] Giue me leaue once for all to signifie that whatsoever hath hitherto beene sayd of an artificiall argument, all that belongeth to a cause: namely, *A cause is an argument*, which hath fitnes to argue, both in it selfe, as being artificiall, & of it selfe, as being Primitiue; and that positiuely, without being compared to any other; yea by way of agreement

agreement with that, it argueth; and ſuch agreement, as is abſolute and perfect, not after a ſort or by halues. [c] *By force*] That hath ſome hand in making the thing to be, whereof it is a cauſe. [d] *The thing*] The thing it argueth, proueth, or declareth. [e] *Is*] This word *Is*, doth not onely ſignifie the preſent being, but alſo belongeth to all times paſt, preſent, and to come; ſo that whatſoever already hath beene, is now in doing or making, ſhall hereafter be done or made, that is, was, ſhall be by vertue of the cauſe thereof.

Prac. 2.

[a] *Therefore this firſt* [b] *place of Invention, is the* [c] *fountaine of all knowledge.*

Expoſit.

[a] *Therefore*] Becauſe true knowledge is nothing elſe, but the underſtanding how, and by force of what, things come to be, or haue being. [b] *Firſt place.*] This head, or ſeat of arguments, which is the firſt in this part of *Logieke*, called *Invention*, is the fountaine of all knowledge. [c] *Fountaine*]

taine] Spring, whence knowledge doth flow; for nothing is knowne, as long as the cauſe is unknowne.

Præc. 3. *And that is* [a] *thought to be knowne, the* [b] *cauſe whereof we underſtand.* That the *Poet* had good reaſon to ſay. *Happie count we the man, that knowes all things by the cauſes.*

Expoſit. [a] *That is thought*] *Ramus* confirmes that he ſaid by the teſtimony of *Ariſtotle* and *Ovid.* [b] *The cauſe*] Then onely may we be truely ſaid to know a thing, when we underſtand what the cauſes be, by force wherof it hath being, or is.

Præc. 4. *The cauſe is* 1. *Efficient, or matter.* 2. *forme or end.*

Expoſit. Here are two kindes of cauſes; but their nature is not ſo well knowne, that we can giue them any names.

Præc. 5. *The* [a] *efficient is* [b] *that,* [c] *from which the* [d] *thing* [e] *is.*

Expoſit. [a] *Efficient.*] *Efficere* in Latine is to make, cauſe, bring to paſſe, effect, doe: from thence this firſt kinde of cauſe

cause is called *efficient working*, because all working and moving to the causing of a thing to be, is from the efficient, or him that worketh. [b] *That*] The efficient is that cause, &c. [c] *From which*] The word *From* is as proper in our tongue, to the efficient, as either the Greeke ὑπὸ, or the Latine *A*. This came from God, that is, God was the Author of this. [d] *The thing*] To which it hath reference, or which it argueth. [e] *Is*] From the working, or moving whereof it hath being. The efficient cause then, is that kind of cause, which argueth the thing, as the Author of it.

[a] *Whereof though we* [b] *cannot find out true* [c] *kindes: yet may we obserue* [d] *great varietie, according to the diuerse* [e] *manner of working.* Præc. 6.

[a] *Whereof*] Of the efficient cause. Exposit.
[b] *We cannot*] *Ramus* doth not say that there are no true kindes of the efficient cause, but that we cannot find any. [c] *Kindes*] Of living creatures we find

find out the true kindes, or ſorts; that ſome are indued with reaſon, ſome are without reaſon; but we cannot doe the like in the efficient cauſe.

[d] *Great varietie*] All efficient cauſes are not alike; but we may finde ſome meanes of diſtinguiſhing, or making difference betwixt them.

[e] *Manner of working*] The difference we obſerue, is in the divers manner of working. Though all efficient cauſes make the thing, as the Authors of it, yet there is ſome diverſitie in their working.

Præc. 7. *Firſt the efficient cauſe* [a] *Begetteth or* [b] *maintaineth.*

Expoſit. [a] *Begetteth.*] Cauſeth or maketh to be; one kind of making to be, is put for all kindes whatſoeuer. [b] *Maintaineth*] Maketh that which is, to continue in being, and to grow. One manner then of working is, that the efficient worketh, by making things to be, or preſerving them in being.

Example. *In the beginning God created heaven and earth.* Gen. 1. 1.

Kings

Kings shall be thy nursing fathers and Queenes thy nursing mothers. Esa. 49. 23.

So King *Henry* the 6. was the founder of the two Kings Colledges in *Cambridge* and *Eaton*; and all the Kings and Queenes of *England* since, haue beene preservers, gardians, and maintainers of them.

No common wombe was fit me forth to bring,
But a faire virgin Priest child to a King;
No mortall father worthy was to breede me;
No humane milke was feirce enough to feed me.
Therefore the God of warre by wonder bred me,
And a shee Wolfe by no lesse wonder fed me.
Davison. devis. 2. of Romulus.

So when thou seem'st fit for the cure of Art;
Let idlenesse be wholly set a part.
This makes thee loue; this nurseth sweete desire;
This is the food and fewell of that fire.
Shun idlenesse, and broke lies Cupids bow, (show.
His brands quencht out, nor heate, nor light doe
Ovid. de Remed. Amor. lib. 1.

In the first example; God was the effici- | Exposit.

efficient cauſe of the world, by cauſing it to be; whereas before it was not. Kings and Queenes are the efficient cauſes of the Church; by preſeruing and cauſing it to flouriſh.

King *Henry* the 6. was the efficient cauſe of the two Kings Colledges, by making them to be; other Kings and Queenes ſince, by maintaining them in being.

Mars and *Ilia*, the father and mother of *Romulus* were efficient cauſes of him by procreation; the ſhe-wolfe by nurſing. Idleneſſe is both the breeder, and nurſe of wanton luſt.

CHAP. IIII.

Of the ſole and joynt efficient cauſes.

Prec. 1. *Secondly, the efficient worketh, either alone, or with other.*

Expoſit. THIS is a ſecond manner of working, wherein the efficient worketh either alone, or with company.

I haue

I haue troden the wine-presse alone; and of the people there was none with me. Isay. 63. 3. *And I looked and there was none to helpe; and I wondered, that there was none to uphold; therefore mine owne arme brought salvation vnto me, and my fury, it vpheld me.* vers. 5. Examp.

This is applied by the fathers to our Saviour Christ, who wrought the Redemption of man-kind alone. 1

So *David* layeth the whole fault vpon himselfe alone, and cleareth the people. *Loe I haue sinned, and I haue done wickedly; but these sheepe, what haue they done?* 2 Sam. 24. 17. 2

David alone sinned; not the people. 2

The band, and the Captaine, and the officers of the Iewes tooke Iesus, and bound him. Ioh. 18. 12. 3

All these together tooke Iesus.

Of the causes that worke together, oftentimes one is the principall efficient, and the rest are accessary as helpers, or servants. Præc. 2.

Iudas was guide to them that tooke Iesus. Examp. 1.

Iesus. Act. 1. 16. *Iudas* was the principall, the reſt, aſſiſtants or helpers. So the *Maiſter-workman*; ſo the *Generall* in an Army. Therefore *Ioab* ſent to *David to gather the reſt of the people together, and to come to take Rabbah, that it might be called after his name. And David gathered all the people together, and fought againſt it, and tooke it.* 2 Sam. 12. 28. 29. *David* was the *principall efficient*, the Souldiers *helpers*.

Præc. 3. *Inſtruments alſo and tooles are reckoned amongſt the helping cauſes.*

1 *Examp.* *Of his owne will begot he vs with the word of truth.* Iames. 1. 18. The principall efficient is God; the inſtrument the Word.

2 *David preuailed over the Philiſtim with a ſling and a ſtone.* 1 Sam. 17. 50. The principall *David*; the inſtruments a ſling and a ſtone.

By this argument the woman of *Samaria* concludeth, that our *Saviour* could not giue her the water of life.

life. *Thou haſt nothing to draw with, and the Well is deepe; from whence then haſt thou this water of life.* Ioh. 4. 11. She thought the Lord Ieſus could not come by the water, for want of an hooke or cord.

Chap. V.

Of the efficient by it ſelfe, and by accident.

Thirdly, the efficient cauſe worketh of it ſelfe, or by accident. Præcept. 1.

THis is the third manner of working, when the efficient worketh of it ſelfe, or by accident. Expoſit.

That cauſe worketh [a] *of it ſelfe, which worketh by* [b] *its own power.* Præ. 2.

[a] *Of it ſelfe*] Not as a dead Inſtrument, which hath no power to moue it ſelfe to, or in working. [b] *Own power*] Abilitie or faculcie, which it hath in it ſelfe. Expoſit.

Præc. 3. *Such are those, which worke by* [a] *nature, or vpon deliberation.*

Exposit. [a] *Nature*] A faculty naturall to them, or arising from their nature.

Examp. 1. So the winds blow. *There came a great winde from the Wildernesse, and smote the foure corners of the house that it fell.* Iob. 1. 19.

2 *The raine descended and the flouds came, and the winds blew, and beat vpon the house and it fell.* Mat. 7. 27.

An example of working vpon deliberation, is the persecution of *Paul*. *I verily thought, that I ought to doe many things against the name of Iesus: which thing also I did at Ierusalem, and many of the Saints I shut vp in prison.* Act. 26. 9. 10.

So *Balam* justifieth his beating of his Asse. *Num.* 22. 29. And *Ionah* his anger for the Gourd. *Ion.* 4. 9.

Præc. 4. *The cause worketh by* [a] *accident, when it worketh by some* [b] *outward power.*

Exposit. [a] *Accident*] Chance, not by any naturall facultie, or vpon deliberation.

on. *Outward*] Some power, that is not within the ordering of the worker,

Of this kind are those actions, which are done of necessitie, or by hap. Of necessitie; when the efficient is, as it were, forced to that, he doth. Præc. 5.

Without thy minde would I doe nothing, that it should not be as it were of necessitie, but willingly. Philem. vers. 14. Examp. 1.

If *Paul* had kept *Onesimus*, without *Philemons* liking, he should haue seemed to pardō him whether he would or no. Expost.

So it seemes to be in the case of sin. *I see another Law in my members, warring against the Law of my minde, and bringing me into captivitie, to the Law of sinne.* Rom. 7. 23. 2

Such is the violence of our corruption, as if we were forced by it to sin. To this belongeth the Decree of God; which implyes a necessitie of the event, though men doe, that they

doe, wittingly and willingly.

3 *Notwithstanding they hearkned not to the voyce of their father, because the Lord would slay them.* 1 Sam. 2. 25.

They sinned willingly; but it could not (in the event) fall out otherwise, because the Lord had resolved to slay them.

4 *Ought not Christ to haue suffered these things, and so to enter into his glory?* Luke. 24 26.

There was a necessitie, it could not be otherwise, but he must suffer.

5 *Not my will, but Fate did fetch*
Mee poore wretch,
Into this vnhappie errour.
Davil. pasto. 5.

The Shepheard would lay the fault of his inconstancy vpon *destiny*.

Præc. 6. *Fortune is a cause by accident, when something happeneth besides the intent of the efficient.*

1 Examp. *When a man goeth into the field with his neighbour to hew wood, & his hand fetcheth*

fetcheth a ſtroke with the Axe, to cut downe the tree, and the head ſlippeth from the helue, and lighteth vpon his neighbour that he dye, he ſhall flee to one of thoſe Cities and liue. Deut. 19. 5.

It was beſides his meaning to kill his neighbour.

So reaſoned *David* when *Shimei* curſed him. *It may be that the Lord will looke vpon mine affliction, and that he will requite good, for his curſing this day.* 2 Sam. 16. 12. *Shimeis* curſing may by accident proue a bleſſing vpon *David*. For *Shimei* intended not, that God ſhould bleſſe *David*. 2

Hitherto ignorance, and vnadviſedneſſe are to be referred. Præc. 7.

And now brethren I wot, that through ignorance you did it; as did alſo your Rulers. Act. 3. 17. Ignorance is made the cauſe of their ſinne. Examp. 1.

But I obtained mercy; becauſe I did it ignorantly. 1 Tim 1. 13. Ignorance is put for the cauſe of *Pauls* obteining pardon. 2

To this place alſo belongeth that 3

of *David*; *Remember not the ſinnes of my youth*, Pſal. 25. 7.

Ignorance and vnadviſedneſſe, are the cauſes that young men ſinne.

4 And that of the ſame King: *Lord take away the iniquitie of thy ſervant; for I haue done very fooliſhly.* 2 Sam. 24 10. *David* did vnaduiſedly in numbring the people.

Præc. 8. *Indeed the name of Fortune aroſe from the ignorance of the true cauſes of things. For when any thing fall out otherwiſe then wee looke for, it is commonly called Fortune.* So that *Iuvenall* ſayd very fitly.

Fortune hath Godhead none, where wiſdome ſwayes,
Wee fooles her Godhead to the skies doe raiſe.

CHAP. VI.

Of the Matter.

Præcept. 1. *The matter is the* [a] *cauſe,* [b] *of which the* [c] *thing is.*

[a] THE *cauſe*] It is a cauſe, for that the thing or effect is by force of

of it. [b] *Of which*] The præposition (*of*) signifieth particularly, how the effect is by force of the matter: namely, as by that, wherof it is made; as an house is built of timber, stones, bricke, morter, and the like. [c] *The thing*] the effect, which is made of the matter.

The building of the wall thereof was Iasper, and the Cittie was pure gold, like vnto cleare glasse. And the foundations of the wall of the Cittie were garnished with all manner of precious stones. &c. Revel. 21. 18. 19. 20. 21. The matter of the wall and Cittie was Iasper, gold, all manner of precious stones. *Examp.* 1.

So the matter of the Tabernacle is described, *Gold, silver, and brasse, and blew silke and purple, and scarlet, and fine linnen, and goats haire, and rams skins dyed red, and badgers skins, and Shittim wood.* Exod. 35. 6. 7. 8. The matter of the Tabernacle, Gold, silver, brasse, &c. 2

King *Salomon* made himselfe a Chariot of the wood of *Lebanon, He made the pillars thereof of silver, & the pauement thereof of gold, the hangings thereof* 3

of of purple. Song of *Salomon*, chap. 3. verſ. 9. 10. The wood of *Lebanon*, *ſilver*, *gold*, were the matter of *Salomons* Chariot.

CHAP. VII.
Of the forme.

Præc. 1. [a] *Such is the firſt* [b] *kind of cauſes, conteining the efficient, and the matter; the* [c] *ſecond followeth, conſiſting of the forme, and the end.*

Expoſit. [a] *Vch*] As we haue declared, and ſet out by examples. [b] *Kind.*] The cauſes are divided into two kinds; whereof the former hath two branches, the *efficient*, the *matter*. [c] *Second*] The ſecond kind hath two alſo, the *forme*, and the *end*: and theſe two are the ſecond in order, becauſe the *efficient* and *matter* commonly goe before; the *forme*, and the *end* follow accompanying the effect.

Præc. 2. *The forme is the* [a] *cauſe,* [b] *by which the*

the thing is that, [c] *which it is.*

[a] *Cauſe*] Therefore the thing is by force of the forme. [b] *By which*] The præpoſition by ſhewing what kinde of force the *forme* hath in cauſing the effect. [c] *That which it is*] The thing is ſayd to be by its eſſence and exiſtence; but to be that, which it is, by the forme. A table, if we conſider the wood and matter of it, is no more a table, then a cupbord, a ſtoole, a beame, a poſt, or any other ſuch thing made of wood; but by the forme it hath, it is a table. Expoſit.

And [a] *therefore by it,* [b] *one thing is* [c] *diſtinguiſhed from another.* Præc. 3.

[a] *Therefore*] Becauſe the forme makes the thing to be that, which it is, therfore it ſheweth, wherein things truely, and eſſentiall differ, each from other. [b] *By it*] There are other difference; but the maine is by the forme. [c] *Diſtinguiſhed*] Made or declared to differ; from *diſtinguere* in Latine, to ſever, part, or divide a ſunder. Expoſit.

And

Præ. 4. *And the forme is* [a]*bred* [b]*in the thing,* [c]*together with the thing it ſelfe.*

Expoſit. [a] *Bred*] That is, it hath its being, or is made to be. [b] *In the thing*] As that, which is ſo inward to the thing, that by it the thing is that, it is. [c] *Together*] So that, the one beginneth, as I may ſay, groweth, and is finiſhed with the other; as ſoone as the wood hath the forme of a table, there is a table; and as ſoone as there is a table, the wood hath the forme of a table.

Examp. *The reaſonable ſoule is the forme of man; becauſe by it man is man, and is diſtinguiſhed from all other natures. So Geometricall figures haue their formes; ſome being triangles, ſome quadrangles, ſome circles. So naturall things, as heauen, earth, trees, fiſhes, fowles, haue their ſeuerall formes.*

Præ. 5. *Therfore when we declare any thing, we muſt declare it, according to the nature, or forme of it, if we can attaine to the knowledge thereof; as in artificiall things we may more eaſily doe.*

He

He made alſo the houſe of the forreſt of Lebanon an hundred cubits long, and fiftie cubits broad, and thirtie cubits high, &c. 1 Kings. 7. 2. 3 4 5. 6. The forme of the houſe is declared by the length, bredth, height, pillars, beames, three rankes of windowes, dores and poſts ſquare. Examp. 1.

Thou ſhalt make a Candleſtieke of pure gold, of worke beaten out with the hammer ſhall the Candleſticke be made; his ſhaft, his branches, his boules, his knops, & his flowers ſhall be of the ſame, &c. Exod. 25. 31. 32. 33. &c. The forme of the Candleſticke is ſet out by the ſeaven branches, knops, &c. 2

So forgiueneſſe of ſinnes is made the forme of juſtification; As *David* declareth *the bleſſedneſſe of a man vnto whom God imputeth righteouſneſſe without workes, &c.* Rom. 4. 6. 7. 8.

CHAP.

CHAP. VIII.
Of the end.

Præc. 1. *The [a] end is the [b] cauſe, [c] for which the thing is.*

Expoſit. [a] *The end*] By end is not meant the vttermoſt terme, or being of a thing, as when we ſay the end of life; but the chiefe good, and as it were vſe, for which the thing is, and ſerveth; as all creatures are for mans vſe. The end is the laſt of the cauſes; and is called the perfection of the thing; not as the forme, which makes the thing to be that it is, but as a fruit of the forme, ariſing from the thing now conſtituted or made. It is ſaid to be the firſt in the intent of the efficient, becauſe, in his diſcourſe or purpoſe, it goes before the matter and the forme. For a man conſidereth, what the thing ſhall ſerue for, before he prepare matter to make it of, and deviſe the forme of it. The end of the motion or worke, whereby the thing is made, is the forme of the thing; or the effect; as the end of

of building is the form of the houſe, or the houſe it ſelfe: but the end of the houſe it ſelfe, or thing made by that motion, or worke, is fitnelle for dwelling. There may alſo be a farther end of the workeman; as deſire to ſhew his skill; or to get profit by the thing. [b] *Cauſe*] Therefore the end hath ſome ſtrok in cauſing the thing to be. [c] *For which*] what ſtroke the end hath is noted by the particle *For*.

Man is the end of naturall things, and God the end of man. For the creatures are for mans vſe, and man for Gods ſervice. Examp.

All arts haue ſome chiefe good, and maine end; as the end of Grammar is to ſpeake well: of Rhetoricke to plead well: of Logick to reaſon well. The end of the Sunne, Moone, and Starres was to giue light to the earth, and to diſtinguiſh the times, by daies, moneths yeares, *Gen.* 1. 14. *So God loued the world, that he gaue his onely begotten Sonne, that whoſoeuer beleeueth in him, ſhould not periſh, but haue everlaſting life. For God ſent not his Sonne into the world*

world to condemne the world, but that the world through him might be saved. *Ioh.* 3. 16. 17. The end, why God sent his Son, was to saue the world.

Him, that knew not sinne, God made sinne for vs, that wee might be the righteousnesse of God in him. 2 Cor. 5. 21. The end of our Saviour Christs Sacrifice, was our acquittall.

So the ends of *Marriage* are declared in the Booke of Common prayer; first, *the procreation of children*; secondly, *a remedie against sinne*; thirdly, *the mutuall societie, helpe, and comfort, that the one ought to haue of the other.*

CHAP. IX.

Of the effect.

Præc. 1. *The* [a] *effect is that, which* [b] *commeth of the causes.*

Exposit. [a] EFfect] From *efficere* to make, *effectum*, that which is made, or caused. It is generally taken for that, which

which proceedeth from, or hath being; by all the causes joyntly considered. [b] *Commeth*] Ariseth of the causes, is made to be by the causes. Which is or hath being; From the efficient; Of the matter; By the forme; For the end. As man is from God, of the earth, by the reasonable soule, for the service of God.

Therefore whether a thing be [a] *made, or destroyed, or* [b] *after any sort moved; this* [c] *motion, and the* [d] *thing caused by this motion is called the effect.* Præc. 2.

[a] *Made or destroyed*] Caused to be, or not to be; as when an house is built or pulled downe; both the building, and the pulling downe are effects. [b] *After any sort*] Howsoever altered or stirred; as if a garment be changed in fashion; if a tree be shaken by the wind. [c] *Motion*] Act of moving; as building, pulling downe, altering, stirring, by any meanes whatsoever. [d] *The thing caused*] As the act, so the thing done by that act: Expos.

the house that is built; the garment that is altered, is an effect.

The cause is said absolutely to agree with the effect, because there is betwixt them a cõmunion of essence, for the cause giveth essence or being to the effect.

The cause and the effect argue each other diversly: the cause argueth or declareth the effect, by shewing how and why it is; ὅτι, the effect argueth the causes, by shewing only that they are ὅτι.

Præc. 3. *From this* [a] *place of the effect, wee gather* [b] *prayses and* [c] *dispraysses, whereof both holy and profane bookes are full.*

Exposit. [a] *Place*] From this head or place of *Logick* which we call the effect.

[b] *Prayses*] The bookes of the Kings are full of examples: *Asa did that, which was right in the eyes of the Lord, as did David his father, and he tooke away the Sodomites out of the land, and removed all the Idols, that his father had made, &c.* 1 Kings 15. 11. 12. 13.

So

So *Iehoſaphat*. 1 Kings. 18. 3. 4. So *Ioſiah*. 2 Kings. 22. 2.

[c] *Diſprayſes*] Such is that of *Baaſha*. *And he did evill in the ſight of the Lord, and walked in the way of Ieroboam, and in his ſinne, wherewith he made Iſrael ſinne*. 1 Kings. 15. 34. So *Ahab*. 1 Kings. 17. 30. 31. 32. &c. So *Iehu*. 2 Kings 10. 31. So *Manaſſeh*. 2 King. 21. 2. 3. 4. Doing that which was right, that which was evill, &c. are the effects of the good and bad Kings.

Hither are the ſayings and writings of men to be referred. — Præc. 4.

The ſayings and writings of men are effects. — Expoſit.

By this argument Demoſthenes and Tully are commended for Oratory; Homer and Virgil for Poëtry. — Præc 5.

Eloquent Orations were the effects of *Demoſthenes*, and *Tully*; excellent Poëms of *Homer*, and *Virgill*. — Expoſit.

To this place we referre the conſultations and deliberations of men, — Præc. 6.

though they were never put in execution.

Examp. *Parry and Lopez were traytors for purposing to kill Queene Elizabeth, though they never came so much as to attempt it. So Winter, Digby, Rookwood, Faux, and the rest were justly put to death for conspiring and attempting that horrible and monstrous gun-powder treason.* Their conspiracy was an effect.

Prec. 7. *Vertues also and vices have their effects.*

Expost. The true, proper, and formall effects of vices and vertues, are the fitting and enabling those, in whom they are, to vertuous and vicious actions, according to their severall natures: the vertuous and vicious actions are the effects of men so qualified. The effect of drunkennesse is set forth; *To whom is woe? to whom is redness of the eyes, &c.* Proverbs 23. 29. 30. &c. So Chap. 31. vers. 4. 5.

CHAP.

Chap. X.

Of the Subject.

Now follow the arguments, which are [a] consentany after a sort; [b] such are the Subiect and Adiunct. Præcept. 1.

[a] *Consentany*] Which agree with each other; yet not absolutely, as the cause and the effect; but in some respect onely, so that there is here no communicating of essence. [b] *Such are*] These consentany arguments are the Subject, and Adjunct. Exposit.

The [a] Subiect is [b] that, to which [c] something is adioyned. Præ. 2.

[a] *Subiect*] *Subycere* to cast or lay vnder; Subject, that which is cast or laid vnder; vpon which something as it were resteth, or stayeth. [b] *That*] That consentany argument, which hath respect to a thing, as that, on which the thing rests, or to which it belongs. [c] *Something*] Which belongs not to the causing of it to be; that is, which is the part of the essence any way, but is added besides the essence or being. Exposit.

Præc. ing. *The ſoule is the ſubiect of knowledg,*
Examp. *ignorance, vertue, vice; becauſe they be-*
long to the ſoule; yet as no part of the
eſſence or being thereof. So the body is
the ſubiect of health, ſickneſſe, ſtrength,
weakneſſe, beautie, deformitie: and theſe
adiuncts are called inharent, or abiding
Expoſit. *in the ſubiect. Man is the ſubiect of*
riches, povertie, honour, diſgrace, of his
Expoſit. *apparell and company*: theſe adjuncts
are called *externall*, or *outward*.
Examp. *The place is the ſubiect of things pla-*
ced in it: So the Philoſophers attribute
place to the divine ſubſtances: though
indeed they haue neither parts, nor quan-
titie, or greatneſſe. So the Geometricia-
ns conſider place, and the differences
thereof, in their Geometricall figures.
So naturall Philoſophers much more di-
ligently in naturall things; in the world,
in the ſimple elements, and compound
bodies. So ſeverall places are alotted
to ſeverall creatures.

In heaven the bleſſed Angels haue their being;
In hell the fiends appointed to damnation.
To men and beaſts earth yeelds firme habitation;
The wing'd Muſicians in the ayre are fleing.

With

With finnes the people gliding,
Of water haue the enioying:
In fire (all els deſtroying)
The Salamander finds a ſtrange abi-
Davil: Od. 9. *(ding.*

After this manner ſenſible things are ſaid to be the ſubiects of the ſenſes; and thoſe things, about which vertues and vices are exerciſed, are the ſubiects of vertues and vices.

Colour is the ſubiect of ſight; ſound of hearing; becauſe thoſe ſenſes are employed in theſe ſenſible things. Vertues and vices are declared in Ethicks, or books of Morall Philoſophie, by this argument: as, temperancy and intemperancy by pleaſure; courage and cowardlineſſe by danger; liberalitie and couetouſneſſe by riches. This is commonly called the obiect. So things that be numbred are the ſubiect of Arithmetick; things that can be meaſured of Geometry. By this kind of argument doth our Lord ſtill the ſtrife amongſt his Diſciples, when they ſtroue which of them ſhould be the greateſt. *The Kings of the Gentiles exerciſe lordſhip over them,*

and they that exercise authoritie vpon them, are called benefactours; but yee shall not be so. Luk. 22.25.26.

Expos. Our Saviour forbids them to striue, because there must be no superioritie among them, which was the object of their strife.

This argument *Propertius* setteth out thus;

The Mariners talke is of the winds,
the Plowmans of his oxe;
The Souldiers of his skars and wounds,
the Shepheards of his flocks.

Expos. So the winds are the object of the Mariners; oxen of the Ploughmen; skars and wounds of the Souldiers; sheepe of the Shepheards.

CHAP. XI.

Of the Adjunct.

1 *The* [a] *adiunct is* [b] *that, to which something is* [c] *subiected.*

[a] *Adiunct*] That which is adjoyned, or added to a thing.

[b] *That*]

[b] *That*] That argument, which hath reſpect to the ſubject, as to that, which is ſubjected to it. [c] *Subiected*] Laid, caſt, or ſet vnder, of *ſubijcere*; ſo that the adjunct is that, which is as it were laid vpon, or adjoyned to the ſubject.

This argument, though it be [a] *lighter then the ſubiect: yet it is more* [b] *copious, and* [c] *ordinary.* 2 Expoſit.

[a] *Lighter*] The adjunct is ſaid to be lighter then the ſubject; firſt, becauſe the ſubject is before it in nature; and is almoſt the reaſon of the adjuncts being; ſecondly, becauſe the ſubjects doe fully refute the adjuncts; for if you take away the ſubject, the adjuncts periſh withall. [b] *Copious*] Of *copia*, plentie; the adjunct is more plentifull; becauſe there is greater ſtore of adjuncts; many belonging to one ſubject. [c] *Ordinary*] More commonly vſed by vs in reaſoning; which perhaps ariſeth from the plentie of it.

Therefore we may ſay of them, as 3

Ovid

Ovid doth of ſuch like.

Theſe things (for ſo they are) perchance may ſmall be reputed:
But ſuch as helpe not ſingly, doe ioyntly profit.

4 *All things* [a] *good or bad, which belong or happen to the ſoule, the body, or the whole man, are adiuncts of the ſoule, the body, the whole man.*

Expoſit. [a] *Good or bad*] As vice, vertue; proſperitie, adverſitie; health, ſickneſſe; riches, povertie.

5 *In a word, whatſoever doth* [a] *externally belong, or happen to any ſubiect, is an adiunct to it.*

[a] *Externally*] whether the thing it ſelfe be inward, or outward, if it be no part of the eſſence, it is an adjunct: as the facultie of ſpeaking is an adjunct to the nature of man.

6 *Now as the place is a ſubiect: ſo time is an adiunct, namely, the duration or continuance of things paſt,*

past, present, future, or to come.

To conclude, all qualities, that are added to any subiect, and are not the causes of it, are adiuncts to it. 7

These qualities are proper or common. Those are proper qualities, or proprieties, which belong to the whole subiect, to it alone and alwayes; as the facultie of laughing to every man, and to a man at all times; so neighing is proper to an horse; barking to a dogge.

Those are common qualities which are not in such sort proper; that is, which haue not these three properties, but want, at the least, one of them. 8 Expos.

By this argument the Spouse is described, *Cant.* 5. 10. 11 12. *My loue is white, ruddy; His head as the most fine gold; his locks are bushy, and blacke as a Raven. His eyes are as the eyes of a Doue, by the rivers of waters, washed with milke and fitly set. His cheeks are as a bed of spices, and sweete flowers; his lips like Lillies, &c.* Exemp.

Here

Expoſit. Here whitneſſe, ruddineſſe, ſweetneſſe are adjuncts.

So a mans clothes, weapons, and company are his adiuncts; as in the deſcription of *Goliah*. 1 Sam. 17. 4. 5. 6. &c. *Examp.* *There came a man named Goliah of Gath. He had a helmet of braſſe vpon his head; and a brigandine vpon him; and the weight of his brigandine was fiue thouſand ſhekels of braſſe, and he had bootes of braſſe vpon his legges, &c. and one bearing a ſheild went before him.*

8 *There is alſo great vſe of adiuncts in arguing the ſubiects, wherein they are imployed.*

Examp. *By this argument Plato proueth that, Thoſe Cities are in a miſerable eſtate which need many Phyſitians and Iudges, becauſe there muſt needs be much intemperancy and vniuſt dealing in them.*

Expoſit. The reaſon, for more plainneſſe lieth thus:

Where there is much intemperancy and vnjuſt dealing, thoſe cities are in a miſerable eſtate.

Where

Where there neede many Phyſitians and Iudges, there is much intemperancy and vnjuſt dealing. For the vſe of Phyſitians is to cure diſeaſes, which ariſe for the moſt part of intemperancy; and the office of Iudges is to ſee that every man haue right, by redreſſing the wrongs, that are done or offered. Theſe are the ſubjects, or obiects, with which Phyſitians and Iudges deale.

Thus haue we the category, or place, or head, of conſentany arguments declared; according to which one thing may be ſaid to agree with another: and Hitherto, as to their firſt & ſimple fountaines, all kinds of [a] *vnitie, or (that I may ſo ſpeake) of identitie are to be referred.*

Whatſoeuer may be ſaid in any kind to agree with another thing, or to be one and the ſame with it, is either a cauſe, or an effect, or a ſubject, or an adjunct. For there are no more meanes of agreement. [a] *Vnitie*] being one; identitie, being the ſame, of

Expoſit.

of *unus* one, and *idem* the same.

Chap. XII.

Of arguments that are divers.

1 *Wee haue declared the nature of a primitiue consentany argument; now followeth a* [a] *dissentany; which dissenteth from the thing it argueth.*

Expos. [a] *Dissentany*] *Dissentire* to disagree, differ, dissent in any matter whatsoever; as I said of *Consentany*, Chap. 2.

2 *Now dissentany arguments are* [a] *of themselues* [b] *alike manifest; and each of them is* [c] *argued alike by the other.*

Expos. [a] *Of themselues*] Of their owne nature. [b] *Alike manifest*] For there is no difference of διότι and ὅτι, that, and why or how; as there is in consentany arguments; because the dissentanies, that are declared each by other, haue

haue the ſame name and definition; as one contrary is argued of another, one relate of another; not as in conſentanies; the cauſe by the effect, the ſubject by the adjunct: therefore he that knoweth the one, muſt alſo know the other. [c] *Argued alike*] Haue equall force to argue each other; neither can the one be argued by the other, as by that, which is better knowne, or hath more force to argue.

Yet they are made better knowne by their diſagreeing. 3

Made better knowne] If we conſider them together, the diſagreement we find betwixt them, maketh them both better knowne; as health and the benefits thereof; by ſickneſſe and the diſcommodities of it; ſo white by blacke, &c. Expoſit.

Diſſentany arguments are divers or oppoſite. 4

Divers are [a] *diſſentany arguments, which diſagree in* [b] *ſome reſpect onely.* 5

[a] *Diſ-*

Exposit. [a] *Dissentany*] As the cause and the effect were consentany, so those we call diverse are dissentany. [b] *In some Respect*] As consentany arguments agree absolutely or in some respect; so dissentany disagree; the lighter disagreement is set in the former place, because it is neerer to consent. The respect, in which these arguments differ, is the diverse vsing or considering of them, as shall appeare in the examples.

6. *These arguments are commonly knowne by* [a] *these markes, Not this, but that. Although, yet.*

Exposit. [a] *These markes*] These indeede, are the most common; but there are also some other now and then; and sometimes none at all.

Examp. *Not faire in beautie but sweet in speech was Vlysses.*

Exposit. *Ovid: Vlysses* might haue been both faire and eloquent: but *Ovid* denieth the former, and affirmeth the latter.

Examp. *Stout Priamond, but not so strong to strike:*
Strong Diamond, but not so stout a Knight:

But

But Triamond was ſtout & ſtrong alike.
Faery Queen: book. 3. cant. 2.

Priamond and *Diamond* might haue beene ſtout and ſtrong alike, as *Triamond* was: but the *Poet* puts this difference betwixt them. Expoſit.

They haue a zeale of God, but not according to knowledge. The *Iewes* might haue had zeale, and that according to knowledge, as true Chriſtians haue; but the Holy Ghoſt telleth vs they wanted the latter. *Rom.* 10. 2. *Examp.* Expoſit.

Though I be rude in ſpeech, yet not in knowledge. 2 Cor. 11. 6. A man may be rude both in ſpeech and knowledge; but the *Apoſtle* denieth the latter of himſelfe, and ſo maketh them two divers. *Examp.* Expoſit.

Though I walke through the valley of the ſhadow of death, I will feare no evill. A man ſo walking may juſtly feare: but the Prophet ſaith he would not feare; Therefore in him to walke in the ſhadow of death, and to feare diſagree as divers things. *Pſalm.* 23. 4. *Examp.* Expoſit.

The vertuous man is free: though bownd in chaines.
Though poore; content; though baniſht; yet no ſtranger.
Though ſicke; in health of minde; ſecure, in danger.
Daviſ: Can. 2.

Expoſit. Though the vertuous man be bound in chaines; yet is he free; though in danger, ſecure. Here free, and bound in chaines, are diverſe; ſo poore, and content, ſecure, and in danger.

CHAP. XIII.
Of Oppoſits.

1 [a] *Oppoſits are* [b] *diſſentanies; which* [c] *wholy diſagree.*

Expoſit. [a] OPpoſits] *Oppoſita* of *opponere* to ſet againſt; ſo that theſe arguments are ſet againſt each other, and cannot agree. [b] *Diſſentanies*] Diſſenting arguments; which ſet forth or declare each other by diſagreeing. [c] *Wholy*] Not in ſome reſpect onely, as thoſe that are diverſe doe; but vtterly

terly without possibilitie of agreement.

[a] *Therefore they cannot befall the* [b] *same subiect, in the same respect, the same part, and the same time.* 2

[a] *Therefore*] Because they wholy disagree. [b] *The same subiect*] The same singular party, or thing. Expos.

The three respects are plaine in the examples.

So [a] *Socrates cannot be blacke and white in the* [b] *same part of his body: father and sonne of the same partie: sicke and well at the same time. But he may be white in one part of his body, and blacke in another; the father of this child, the sonne of that man: well to day, sicke to morrow.* Examp.

[a] *Socrates*] This name is put for example; the thing is true of all men. Expos.

[b] *The same part*] By part is not meant a whole member, arme, hand, finger; but every part of any of these, or the like. Where a blacke spot is, in the very

very ſame place there cannot be a white alſo, though cloſe to it there may.

3 [a] *Therefore whenſoever one of theſe oppoſits, is affirmed, the other is* [b] *thereby denied.*

Expoſit. [a] *Therefore*] Becauſe they cannot both be together; therefore if you ſay the one is here or there, you deny that the other is there. [b] *Thereby*] In thoſe Arguments, that wee call *Diverſe*; if you affirme the one, you deny the other; but not thereby. For when *Ovid* ſaid, that *Vlyſſes* was eloquent, he did not thereby deny that he was faire; he might well enough haue beene eloquent and beautifull too. But in *Oppoſits*, if you ſay *Socrates* is ſicke, you cannot ſay he is well at the ſame inſtant, but by ſaying he is ſicke, you deny he is well.

4 *Oppoſits are Diſparats, or Contraries.*

Expoſit. [a] *Diſparats are* [b] *oppoſits,* [c] *one of which is* [d] *alike oppoſed to many.*

[a] *Diſ-*

[a] *Disparats*] *Disparata* of *Dispar* vnequall; when the one differs from the other; the word is somewhat generall; but we haue no fitter. [b] *Oppoſits*] Therfore they wholy diſagree, and cannot be joyned together. [c] *One of which*] Every one of which; for, as it is in the laſt word, they are more then two, and haue no certaine number. [d] *Alike*] Æqually, after the ſame manner of diſagreeing; as blacke differeth after the ſame manner, from yellow and from red, as being diſparate to each; though it differ not in like meaſure, from the mixture of the colours.

Examp.

Greene, aſhcolour, red, are middle colours, betwixt blacke and white, every one of which is in like manner oppoſed to both the extreames, blacke and white, and to the other middle colours. So liberalitie and couetouſneſſe diſſent, as diſparats, from each other. So a man, a tree, a ſtone, and innumerable ſuch things are diſparats; neither can the ſame thing be a man, a tree, a ſtone.

It is the voyce of a God, and not of a man. Act. 12.22.

Some say that, thou art Iohn the Baptist; some Elias, and other, Ieremias, or one of the Prophets; but thou art Christ the sonne of the living God. Math. 16. 14. 16.

Iohn Baptist, Elias, and *Christ,* differ as *Disparats,* the one from the other.

So differ silver, and gold, and corruptible things from the precious bloud of Christ. 1 *Pet.* 1. 18. *You were not redeemed from your vaine conversation, with corruptible things, as silver & gold, but with the precious bloud of Christ.*

CHAP. XIIII.

Of Contraries.

1 *Contraries are* [a] *opposits, whereof* [b] *one is opposed to* [c] *one onely.*

Exposit. [a] *Opposits*] Therefore whatsoever hath beene said hitherto of *opposits* in generall, must be vnderstood of *contraries* also. [b] *One to one*] Not one to many, as in *disparats*; therefore

fore in *Contraries*, there is greater oppoſition, and more diſagreement.
[c] *One onely*] One of the ſame kinde; for it may be oppoſed to divers of ſeverall kinds: as, He that ſeeth is oppoſed to that, which ſeeth not; and to him, that is blind.

Contraries are affirmatiue or Negatiue. 2

Affirmatiue, when both affirme ſomewhat; as Relatiues or Adverſatiues. 3

[a] *Relatiues are* [b] *cõtraries affirming; the one whereof* [c] *conſiſts by the* [d] *mutuall relation to the other.* 4

[a] *Relatiues*] They are commonly called *Relats*; I keepe the word, which is already knowne, and vſed in Grammar. Both come of *Referor* to be referred, or to haue reference to. [b] *Contraries affirming*] Though they be contrary either to other; yet both of them affirme ſomewhat; as Father and Sonne; neither of them deny; as ſeing, and not ſeing doe. Expoſit.

[c] *Conſiſts*] Hath its being or nature.
[d] *Mutuall relation*] Interchangeable reference; either being referred to other; as the ſonne is the fathers ſon; and the father is the ſonnes father; Having a ſervant maketh a maiſter; and having a maiſter maketh a ſervant.

And thence are they called Relatiues.

5 Expoſit. Namely, of that mutuall relation. As a father is he, which hath a ſonne; and a ſonne is he, which hath a father.

6 *And becauſe of* [a] *this mutuall relation, Relatiues are ſaid to be together in nature: ſo that he, which* [b] *perfectly knowes the one, knowes the other alſo.*

Expoſit. [a] *This mutuall.*] For by reaſon of this, each is cauſe of being to the other. [b] *Perfectly knowes*] He that knowes, what it is, to be a father, knowes alſo what it is, to be a ſonne: and contrariwiſe.

Examp. *And it ſhall be as with the people, ſo with the Prieſt; as with the ſervant, ſo with*

with the maister; as with the maid, so with the mistris; as with the buyer, so with the seller; as with the lender, so with the borrower; as with the taker of vsury, so with the giver of vsury to him. Esay. 24. 1. 2. Priest and people; Maister and servant; Mistris & maid, &c. are relatiues.

They gaue him a peice of broyled fish, and an hony combe, and he tooke it. Luk. 24. 42. Here they gaue, and he tooke, are relatiues.

Betwixt Prince and subiect, there is as necessary a relation, as betwixt father and Sonne; and as there is no man a father, but to his child; so is none a Prince, but to his owne subiects. Sr Phil: Sid: Arcad. lib. 5.

Father and sonne, Prince and subject, are relatiues.

So to rule & to obey. *Davis: can.* 32.

For where desire doth beare the sway,
The heart must rule; the head obey.

So brother and brother, children and parents. *The brother shall deliver vp the brother to death, and the father*

the child, and the children ſhall riſe vp againſt their parents. Mat. 10. 27.

7 *But an argument of* [a] *ſuch relation hath no* [b] *contrarietie in it; nay it argueth* [c] *mutuall cauſes; as I am your father; therefore you are my ſonne.*

Expoſit. [a] *Of ſuch Relation.*] Where Relatiues ſo argue, or declare each other. [b] *No contrarietie*] There is no contrarietie at all betwixt them. [c] *Mutuall cauſes*] They argue each other, as the cauſes each of other.

8 *But when I ſay; I am your father; therefore am I not your ſonne: then are they* [a] *contraries indeed.*

Expoſit. [a] *Contraries*] For the ſame partie cannot be father, and ſonne of the ſame partie; becauſe Relatiues thus conſidered are Oppoſits.

CHAP.

Chap. XV.

Of Adverſatiues.

[a] *Adverſatiues are contraries affirming, which are* [b] *alwayes* [c] *directly oppoſite each to other.* 1

[a] *Adverſatiues*] Theſe are commonly termed *contraries*; but it is manifeſt, that there are diverſe *contraries*, beſide theſe: this name is alſo knowne and vſed in Grammar. [b] *Alwayes*] It ſeemes to ſignifie abſolutely. [c] *Directly*] As two pillars, that are ſet right againſt each other in a building; ſo that if you bring them together, you pull the houſe on your head, with *Sampſon*. Expoſit.

Warre yeelds no ſafetie, 'tis peace we heartily ſue for. Vir. Æp. 11. Examp.

There is a time to plant, and a time to plucke vp that which is planted; a time to breake downe, and a time to build vp; a time to caſt away ſtones, and a time to gather ſtones together; a time to get, and a time to looſe; a time to loue, and a time to hate; a time of warre, and a time of peace.

peace. Eccles.3.2.3.4.5.&c.

Woe be to all them, that call good evill, and evill good. Isai. 5.20.

Which iustifie the wicked for reward, and take away the righteousnesse of the righteous from him. Iiay.5.23.

Yee cannot serue God and Mammon. Mat. 6.24.

So libertie and freedome are opposed in that Proverbiall rime.

Better it is a poore house to hold,
Then to lye in prison with fetters of gold.

Chap. XVI.

Of Contradictories.

1 *Negatiue contraries are* [a] *they, whereof the one affirmes, the other denieth, the* [b] *same thing.*

Exposit. [a] *They*] Those arguments. [b] *The same thing*] For if the one affirme one thing, and the other deny another thing, and not the same, what gainesaying is there?

Nega-

Negatiue contraries, are Contradictories, or Privatiues. 2

Contradictories are negatiue contraries, the one whereof denieth [a] *every where; or generally.* Exposit.

[a] *Every where*] That is in all causes, matters, or subjects. As just, not just; a living creature, not a living creature. Is, Is not, are contradictories. *Just not Just*] Not just may be sayd of any thing; as an horse is not just; a stone is not a living creature; a Griffin is not; or, there is no Griffin.

Some beleeved the things that were spoken, and some beleeved not. Act. 28. 24. *Festus said; Paul thou art besides thy selfe. But he said I am not mad.* *Act.* 26. 24. Beleeved & beleeved not are contradictories. So in the second example; Besides one selfe, and not mad. *Examp.*

CHAP.

Chap. XVII.

Of Privatiues.

1 *Privatiues are negatiue contraries, the one whereof denyes in* [a] *that ſubiect onely, wherein the affirmatiue is by* [b] *nature.*

Expoſit. [a] *That ſubiect*] Wee may ſay generally in that thing; but this word *ſubiect* ſignifieth, that they be adjuncts, which are affirmed and denied. [b] *Is by nature*] The contrary to that which is denyed doth naturally agree to the ſubject, or thing, whereof it is denyed; as blindneſſe is denied onely of thoſe things, which naturally haue ſight. Therefore a ſtone cannot be ſayd to be blind.

2 *And here, or in this head, or place, that which is affirmed, is called the* [a] *habit, that which is denyed, the* [b] *privation, or privatiue.*

Expoſit. [a] *Habit*] Of *habere* to haue; habit ſignifieth a qualitie, or facultie, which the thing hath, or might haue. [b] *Privation*]

vation] Of *privare* to depriue or bereaue, of ſomthing which is or might be in the ſubject, whereof it is denyed. Privation, if we will keepe that word, muſt ſignifie, not the act of depriving, but the thing, which is wanting: as ſight in blindneſſe.

So motion and reſt. *So Ieſus wearied with his Iourney, ſat thus on the Well.* Ioh. 4. 6. Iourneying and ſitting ſtill are privatiues. Sight and blindneſſe. Hearing and deafeneſſe. *Examp.*

What els but blind is he, that nothing ſees
But deafe, that cannot heare.
Daviſ: Madrig. 19.

So riches and povertie. *Thou ſayſt I am rich, and encreaſed with goods, and haue neede of nothing; and knoweſt not, that thou art wretched, and miſerable, and poore.* Revel. 3. 17.

So death, and life. *In that he died, he died vnto ſinne once; but in that he liveth, he liveth vnto God.* Rom. 6. 10.

Speech and ſilence. *I was dumbe with ſilence, I held my peace even from good;*

good; while I was musing the fire burnt; then spake I with my tongue. Psal. 39. 2.

3 *Thus haue I declared the Category, head or place, of Dissentany arguments; from whence all* [a] *difference, that can be of any one thing from another, is to be fetched.*

Expos. [a] *All difference*] Whatsoever difference can reasonably be imagined betwixt or among things, is to be found in some one of these *Dissentanies.*

CHAP. XVIII.

Of Equalls.

1 *Hitherto wee haue declared the nature of positiue arguments, both consentanies, and dissentanies.*

2 *Comparatiue arguments, are those arguments, which are compared together.*

3 *Although comparatiue arguments are equally known, the one as well as*

as the other in respect of [a] *comparison; yet the one of them may be better known, and evident to some man, then the other.*

[a] *Comparison*] For comparison onely maketh them equall, or vnequall, like, or vnlike. Expos.

Many times they are briefly signified by certaine markes. 4

Sometime they are set out at large, by their parts; which are called [a] *Proposition and Reddition.* 5

[a] *Proposition*] Because this word is of necessary vse, in the second part of *Logicke*, I would gladly spare it in this place, if I could tell how to supply the want of it handsomely; perhaps we might call the one, the *Declarer*; the other, the *Declared*. Expos.

Comparisons, though they be [a] *fained, doe argue, and* [b] *breed beliefe.* 6

[a] *Be fained*] The declarer, or former part may be a thing, that hath no true being, as diverse cõparisons brought Expos.

from ſtones, and plants imagined.

[b] *Breed beliefe*] Declare and make knowne the thing wee handle, yea cauſe vs to beleeue that it is, as the comparison ſignifieth.

7 *Compariſon is in Quantitie or Qualitie.*

Expoſit. Things are compared in reſpect of their quantitie or qualitie.

8 *Quantitie is that, by which things compared are ſaid to be ſo great, or ſo little.*

Expoſit. Quantitie, in *Logicke*, ſignifies not onely height, bredth, thickneſſe; but alſo all kinde of things; wherein one thing may be conceived to be equall or vnequall to another.

9 *Compariſon in quantity is of things Equall, or vnequall.*

10 *Thoſe things are equall, which haue the ſame quantitie.*

11 *Therefore an argument of equalitie is, when a thing is declared by that which is equall to it.*

The

The ſignes and markes of equalitie, are one. 12

Both the Cherubs were of one meaſure, and one ſize. 1 Kings. 6. 25. Exam. 1.

Æquall. *Theſe laſt haue wrought but one houre, and thou haſt made them æquall to vs.* Mat. 20. 12. 2

As much. *Sinners lend to ſinners, to receiue as much againe.* Luk. 6. 34. 3

As, as. *The length of the Citie is as large, as the bredth.* Rev. 21. 16. 4

Deniall of inequalitie. *If Balak would giue me his houſe full of ſilver and gold, I cannot goe beyond the word of the Lord my God, to doe leſſe or more.* Numb. 22. 18. 5 1 ∝

I ſuppoſe I was not a whit behind the very chiefeſt Apoſtles. 2 Cor. 11. 5. 2

What is it, wherein yee were inferiour to other Churches? 2 Cor. 12. 13.

Sometime the propoſition, and reddition are diſtinctly ſet downe. 13

As is his part, that goeth downe to the battaile, ſo ſhall his part be, that tarieth by the ſtuffe. They ſhall part alike. 1 Sam. 30. 34. Examp.

How much she hath glorified her selfe, and lived delitiously; so much torment, and sorrow giue her. Rev. 18. 7.

As far, as the East is from the West, so farre hath he removed our sinnes from vs. As the heaven is high above earth, so great is his mercy toward them that feare him. Psal. 103. 11. 12.

Wisedome excelleth folly, as far, as the light excelleth darknesse. Eccleiast. 2. 13.

14 *Sometimes the markes are left out.*

While loue in you did liue, I onely liu'd in you.
While you for me did burne, for you alone I burn'd,
While you did sigh for me, for you I sigh'd & mourn'd.
Davis: son. 2.

To this place belong those [a] *consectaries; springing indeede from contraries, but handled by comparison of æqualitie.*

Exposit. [a] *Consectaries*] Things that follow vpon each other; of the Latine *Consectari* to follow.

When that thy Father thou dost Maister call;
Thou dost confesse thy selfe a slaue, and thrall.
Martial. Mai-

Maister or Lord and slaue are relatiues; yet here they are handled as æqualls: if he be thy Lord, thou art his slaue. Exposit.

But this is more common in Aduersatiues; seeing they confesse, that there is force enough in naughtinesse to make men vnhappie; must they not also yeeld, that there is the same force in goodnesse to make men happie? Tusc. Quæst. 5. Examp.

So, being prouoked, sometime we pay like for like. The Pharises demaunded of our Lord Iesus, by what authoritie he did those things; He answers them; I will also aske you one thing: The Baptisme of Iohn was it from heaven or of men? And they answered; Wee cannot tell. And he said vnto them; Neither tell I you, by what authoritie I doe these things. Mat. 21. 23. &c. Examp.

An example of a comparison of æqualitie, that is but fayned, we haue in *Davisons Poëms* out of *Martiall.*

Why doe your wife and you so ill agree:
Sinte you in manners so well matched be?
Thou brazen fac'd; she impudently bold,

Thou still dost braule: she evermore doth scold.
Thou seldome sober art; she often drunke.
Dav: Epigram.

Chap. XIX.
Of the Greater.

1 *Vnequall things are those, which haue not the same quantity whereof the one is* [a] *Greater, the other Lesse.*

2 * [a] Greater] In *Logicke* that is counted greater, which exceeds that, wherewith it is compared, in Greatnesse, authoritie, power, excellency, number, or in any thing whatsoever.

3 *The* [a] *Greater is that, the* [b] *quantitie whereof doth* [c] *exceede.* *

Exposit. * [a] *Greater*] In *Logicke*, &c. [b] *Quantitie.*] Of things vnequall, the one must needs be greater, the other lesse. [c] *Exceed*] The quantitie of the other which is lesse.

The

The proper markes thereof are ſuch as theſe. Not onely, but alſo. 4

I am ready, not to be bound onely, but alſo to dye for the name of the Lord Ieſus. Act. 21. 13. *Examp.*

The Apoſtle was diſſwaded from going to *Ieruſalem*, becauſe there he ſhould be bound for the Lord Ieſus. He ſheweth his willingneſſe to be bound, by the greater, his willingneſſe to dye for him. *Expoſit.*

Rather this then that. *I had rather be a dore-keeper in the houſe of my God, then dwell in the tents of wickedneſſe.* Pſal. 84. 10. *Examp.*

It is a greater happineſſe to be a dore-keeper in the Lords houſe, then to dwell in the tents of the wicked. The quantitie of that exceeds this. *Expoſit.*

More then. *The Lord loveth the gates of Sion more, then all the dwellings of Iacob.* Pſal. 82. 2. *Examp.*

The quantitie of his loue to *Sion* is greater. *Expoſit.*

Grammaticall compariſon. *To obey is better, then ſacrifice: and to hearken,* *Examp.*

then the fat of Rams. 1 Sam. 15. 22.

Examp. Much more. *If when we were enemies, we were reconciled to God by the death of his Sonne, much more being reconciled, we shall be saved by his life.* Rom. 5. 6. 7.

Expoſit. The thing argued, is our being ſaved by the life of the Sonne of God; this is declared by the greater, our being reconciled when we were enemies; For the quantitie of loue in this exceedeth that in the other.

Examp. *He found no ſtedfaſtneſſe in his ſervants, and laid folly vpon his Angels; how much more on them, that dwell in houſes of clay.* Iob. 4. 18.

Expoſit. The thing argued, is the corruption of men, that which argues, is the imperfection of Angels; the argument is, the greater righteouſneſſe or perfection of the Angels, which exceeds the perfection of men.

Examp. *Two Kings ſtood not before him, how ſhall we ſtand?* 2 Kings. 10. 4.

Expoſit. The leſſe power of the *Samaritans* is argued by the greater power of two Kings, *Ioram*, and *Ahaziah*.

An

An example of a feined comparison is that. *If the Prophet had bid thee doe some great thing, wouldest thou not haue done it? How much rather then, when he sayth; Wash and be cleane?* Examp.

Washing the lesse, is argued by some greater thing, which it is conceived the Prophet might haue enjoyned. Expost.

CHAP. XX.

Of the Lesse.

The lesse is that, the quantitie whereof is exceeded: by that, which it argueth. 1

The markes, whereby it is knowne, are Grammaticall comparison.

A Stone is heavie, and the sand weightie; but a fooles wrath more heavie then them both. Prov. 27. 3. Examp.

A fooles wrath as the greater, is argued by the lesse weight of sand, and stone. Expost.

Dust

Examp. *Duſt is lighter then a feather:*
And the wind more light then either:
But a womans fickle mind,
More then feather, duſt, or wind.
Dav: diviſ: 3.

Expoſit. The lightneſſe of a fickle mind, exceeds the lightneſſe of wind, feather, and duſt. And is declared as the greater, by theſe which are leſſe.

Examp. More then. *I laboured more abundantly, then they all.* 1 Cor. 15. 10.

Expoſit. The Apoſtles greater labour is ſet out, by the leſſe labour of other.

Examp. *It is eaſier for a Camel to goe through the eye of a needle, then for a rich man to enter into the Kingdome of God.* Luk. 18. 25.

Expoſit. The hardneſſe for a rich man to enter into the kingdome of heaven, is ſet out by the leſſe; as a Camels going through the eye of a needle; which is not ſo hard a thing.

Examp. Not like. *Salomon in all his glory was not like one of theſe.* Mat. 6. 29.

Expoſit. The greater glory of the Lilies, is declared by the leſſe glory of *Salomon*.

Deniall

Deniall of æqualitie. *It ſhall be more tolerable for Sodom & Gomorrah in the day of Iudgement, then for that Citie.* Mat. 18. 15. — Examp.

The greatneſse of the Cities puniſhment is ſhewed, by the leſse puniſhment of *Sodom* and *Gomorrah.* — Expoſit.

Time waſteth yeares & moneths & dayes, & houres:
Time doth conſume fame, riches, wit, and ſtrength;
Time kills the greeneſt hearbs, and ſweeteſt flowers;
Time weares out youth, and beauties pride at length:
Yet ſhall not time vpon my heart prevaile:
Nor any time ſhall make my loue to faile.

Dav: ſon. 27.

The long continuance of his loue is manifeſted by the ſhort continuance of other things, as yeares, moneths, dayes, houres, fame, riches, &c. — Expoſit.

Sometime the marke is left out.

A garden encloſed is my ſiſter, my Spouſe; a ſpring ſhut vp; a fountaine ſealed. Cantic. 4. 12. — Examp.

Of this kind are Metaphors which alwayes containe a Similitude. As when God is termed a rocke: in reſpect

ſpect of himſelfe, he is ſo termed, becauſe he is ſtrong, and vnmoueable as a rocke is: in regard of vs, that, he is a ſure defence, and ſhelter, as a rocke is.

4 *The parts of a ſimilitude are ſometime* [a] *laid out, at large; either ſeuered, or ioyned.*

Expoſit. [a] *Laid out*] The former examples cloſe vp the ſimilitude in one word, or two; thoſe that follow, lay them open to our view.

5 *A diſiunct ſimilitude, or ſeuered likeneſſe is, when there are foure* [a] *termes* [b] *diſtinctly ſet downe.*

Expoſit. [a] *Termes*] The parts of the propoſition and reddition are called termes: which ſhall be noted in the examples.
[b] *Diſtinctly*] So that there be two in the propoſition; two other in the reddition.

Examp. *As the waxe melteth at the fire: ſo let the vngodly periſh at the preſence of God.* Pſal. 68. 2.

Expoſit. The thing argued is the periſhing of

of the vngodly, at the presence of God; which is set downe in the reddition or latter part. The thing arguing is melting of waxe at the fire: delivered in the proposition or former part. The parts or tearmes in the propolition are, Waxe, Fire; in the reddition, vngodly, the presence of God.

As the Hart brayeth after the rivers of water; so panteth my soule after thee O God. The foure termes are; the heart; the river; the soule; God. *Psal.* 42. 1. Examp. Exposit.

As the body without breath is dead; so faith without workes is dead also. Iames. 2. 26. The body, breath; faith; workes. Examp. Exposit.

Sometime one of the markes is left out, and the parts displaced.

Husbands loue your wiues; even as Christ loued the Church. Examp.

The termes are, Christ, Church; Husbands; wiues; The reddition or latter part is set formost, the proposition in the latter place. The similitude orderly set downe runneth thus; Exposit.

thus; *As Christ loved the Church, so must men loue their wiues.* Eph. 5. 29.

Sometime both markes are left out.

Examp. *Siluer, drosse, ouerlaid vpon a potsheard; burning lips, and an euill heart.* Pro. 26. 23.

Expoſit. Thus lies the likeneſſe; As a potsheard couered with ſilver; ſo are burning lips, and a wicked heart; both faire outwardly, within filthy. The foure termes are diſtinct. A potsheard, ſilver; burning lips, a wicked heart.

6 *A* [a] *ioyned or continued ſimilitude is, when the* [b] *ſecond terme is to the third, as the firſt is to the ſecond.*

Expoſit. [a] *Ioyned*] It is ſo called, becauſe the ſecond terme is continued in the reddition, in the third place; and not a ſeuerall new terme, which was not in the propoſition. [b] *The ſecond*] There are but three termes in all; therefore one muſt be repeated; which is the ſecond; and that belongeth alike to the firſt, & to the third;

ex-

examples will make it plainer.

As the father hath loved me, so haue I loved you. Ioh. 15. 9. Examp.

In this similitude there are but three termes. The 1. father. 2. I. 3. you. I the second terme is referred to you the third, as the father the first terme is to I the second. Thus perhaps it will be easier. As God loved Christ, so Christ loved his Disciples. Christ is so affected to his Disciples; as God the Father is to Christ. Expos.

Sometimes here also one marke is omitted, and the parts displaced.

Oughtest not thou to haue had pittie on thy fellow seruant; as I had pittie on thee? Mat. 18. 33. Examp.

The three termes are 1. I the Lord, 2. thou the seruant, 3. thy fellow-seruant. It is thus to be disposed; As I the Lord had pittie on thee, so thou the seruant shouldst haue had pittie on thy fellow seruant. The second terme the seruant, should haue beene to the third terme his fellow seruant, as the Lord the first terme, was to the seruant the second. Expos.

Fai-

Examp. *Fained similitudes are of as much force to argue, as true; So Nathan deals with David.* 2 Sam. 12. 1. 2. 7.

So the Prophet reprooved Ahab. 1 Kings. 20. 38. 39. 42.

In this kind *Æsops* fables excell; but far aboue them are the most excellent Parables, or likenesses of our Lord Iesus; whereof the Gospell is full. *Mat.* 13. 3. 24. 31. 33. *Luk.* 15. 4. 8. 11.

CHAP. XXI.

Of the Vnlike.

1 *Vnlike things are those, which haue a* [a] *diverse qualitie.*

[a] *Diverse qualitie*] Which differ from each other in qualitie.

2 *The proper notes of vnlikenesse are vnlike.*

3 *The fourth beast was vnlike to all the beasts before it.* Dan. 7. 7. 19.

4 Another. *There is another glory of the Sunne, another of the Moone, and another of the Starres: For one Starre differeth*

fereth from another in glory. 1 Cor. 15. 41.

Deniall of likeneſſe is moſt common. *There is none like me in all the earth.* Exod. 9. 14. 5

Who is like vnto thee, O Lord, among the Gods? Exod. 15. 11.

Not as, ſo. *But not as the offence, ſo alſo is the gift.* Rom. 5. 15. 4

And not as it was by one that ſinned, ſo is the gift. Rom. 5. 16.

Many times there is no mark; and the vnlikeneſſe is fully ſet out; as in the *Epigram* of *Catullus*.

The Sun that ſets, againe ſhall riſe:
When our ſhort light once hidden lies.
Perpetuall night ſhall cloſe our eyes.

To which this may be oppoſed in the ſame kind of Compariſon.

The Sunne you ſee ſo often riſe,
You ſee as often leaue the skies.
But we, when one ſhort night is paſt,
Shall liue in light that aye ſhall laſt.

Chap. XXII.

Of Conjugates.

1 *Hitherto we haue ſhewed the nature of primitiue arguments; now follow* [a] *Derivatiue.*

Expoſit. [a] *Derivatiue*] Of *rivus* a river or brooke; *Derivatiues* as it were divers ſtreames drawne, or iſſuing from the ſame fountaine.

2 *Derivatiues haue the ſame force to argue, that the* [a] *Primitiues haue, from which they are derived.*

Expoſit. [a] *Primitiues*] Thoſe, that come of the cauſes, argue as the cauſes doe; thoſe, that are drawne from the ſubjects or adjuncts, haue the ſame force to declare that, they argue, which the ſubject and adjunct haue.

3 *Derivatiues are* 1. *Coniugates or Notation*, 2. *Diſtribution or Definition.*

Expoſit. Wee can find no more any true kinds of Derivatiues then we can of cauſes.

[a] *Coniugates*

[a] *Coniugates are* [b] *names diuerſly derived from the ſame beginning.* 4

Of the ſame theame, or roote.

[a] *Coniugates*] Of *coniungo* to joyne. Those that are joyned, as it were, by kindred; as, Iuſt, Iuſtice, Iuſtly. Expoſit.

[b] *Names*] That is, words; not nownes: for words, whether they be nownes, verbs, or adverbs; are names of the things, that they ſignifie: as Iuſt is the name of ſuch a man, or action: Iuſtice, the name of ſuch a habit, or qualitie: Iuſtly, the name of doing according to Iuſtice. Theſe words, and the like belong to Grammar; but it is *Logicke*, that teacheth vs to reaſon by them.

In Coniugates there is a marke of Conſentany arguments. 5

He that doth righteouſneſſe is righteous. 1 Ioh. 3. 7. *Examp.*

Here the cauſe is argued by the effect; a righteous man is the cauſe of righteous actions. Expoſit.

A good man out of the good treaſure of his heart, bringeth forth good things, *Examp.*

and an evill man out of the evill treasure evill things. Math. 12. 35.

Expos. A good heart is the cause of good things: an evill of evill.

Exemp. *Thy lawfull wife faire Lelia needs must be,*
For shee was forc'e by Law to marry thee.
Davis: Epigram.

Law maketh things lawfull.

Answere not a foole according to his folly. Prov. 26. 4.

A foole is the subject; folly the adjunct.

Here both arguments have the same name: Conjugats.

Chap. XXIII.

Of Notation.

1 [a] *Notation is the* [b] *interpretation of a name*; or word.

Expos. [a] NOtation] Of *Nota*, a marke, marking out; that is, declaring whence the word is. [b] *Interpretation.*] It is that which in Greeke is called Ἐτυμολογία, the true reason of the word, how it commeth to signifie this, or that.

There

There is no name of any thing, [a] *derivatiue, or compound, but if it were* [b] *skilfully given, the reason of it may be drawn from* [c] *some primitiue argument.*

Expos.

[a] *Derivatiue*] For primitiue words come from the will of man, who deviseth names for things; as the Lord God himselfe appointed the Hebrew primitiue words; from which derivatiues were framed by men, as occasion offered it selfe. [b] *skilfully*] Many haue failed much in this skill; that it is (I thinke) impossible, to shew such a reason of every derivatiue, and compound word. [c] *Some*] Either absolute, or comparatiue.

Examp.

From the cause. *She shall be called Woman, because she was taken out of man.* Gen. 2. 25.

From the Effect. *Thou shalt call his name Iesus, Saviour, for he shall saue his people from their sinnes.* Mat. 1. 21.

From the subject. We call men Divines, Lawyers, Physicians; because of the object whereabout they are im-

imployed; *viz.* Divinitie, Law, Phyſicke.

From the ſubject place is that of the *Poët.* (*call,*

From Court, it ſeemes, men courteſie doe
For that it there moſt vſeth to abound.

Faer. Qu: book. 6. Cant. 9.

From the adjunct. *Thy name ſhall be Abraham; for a Father of many Nations haue I made thee.* Gen. 17. 5.

For *Abraham* in the Hebrew is the father of a multitude.

Nabal, foole, is his name, and folly, Nabalah, is with him. 1 Sam. 25. 25.

There is alſo notation from Diſſentanies.

Examp. *And from Comparatiues; as a kinde of hearbe is called a Mandrake, becauſe the roote of it reſembles the faſhion of a man.*

The [a] *name* [b] *argues the notation; as the Notation doth the name.*

[a] *Name*] In this place, or Category of *Logicke*, the arguments haue divers names; the one is called the name, the other the Notation. [b] *Argues*] Proues,

Proues, declares; the one is æqually, and alike argued by the other; As full of courage, therefore courageous. Here the notation declares the name. Courageous, therefore full of courage. Where the name argues the notation.

Chap. XXIIII.

Of Distribution.

There remaine now of Derivatiue arguments, Distribution and Definition. 1

In [a] *each whereof there is an* [b] *affection of* [c] *reciprocation.* 2.

Expos.

[a] *Each*] Both in Distribution and in Definition. [b] *Affection*] A fitnesse, or proprenes to argue each other. [c] *Reciprocation*] *Reciprocare* is to returne thether whence we came; as the water doth, when it ebbs. Now by this, the mutuall or interchangeable arguing of the parts, is signified;

the particulars will make this better vnderstood.

3 *In Distribution the reciprocation is betwixt the parts, and the whole.*

Exposit. For all the parts, and the whole, being all one, (the whole is nothing but all the parts) as the whole argueth all the parts; so all the parts argue the whole; as being one and the same thing. Invention and Iudgement are nothing els but *Logicke*. A soule and a body are nothing els but a man. *Logicke* is nothing els but invention and judgement. A man is nothing els but a soule and a body. So each of these, the whole and the parts, returne into other.

4 [a] *Distribution is, when the whole is divided into parts.*

Exposit. [a] *Distribution*] *Tribuere* to giue; *distribuere* to giue by parts, or peeces; distribution, dividing into parts; as it were giving the thing in parts.

5 *The whole is that, which* [a] *containeth parts.*

[a] Con-

a *Containeth*] Hath parts, or consists of parts; conteining doth not signifie here, keeping within it; as a vessell doth containe liquor. Expos.

Whatsoever hath parts, is the, or a, whole.

A part is that, which is conteined of the whole; as a peece thereof. 6

As the dividing of the whole into parts, is called Distribution; so the gathering of the parts together, to make up the whole, is called Induction; That is, bringing in, of *Inducere* to bring in. 7 Expos.

Distribution, and Induction are all one; but that the former proceedeth from the whole to the parts; the latter from the parts to the whole.

A Distribution is made of argu-ments, which agree with the whole, but disagree one with another. 8

Precepts of art, which propound distributions, must consist of consentany arguments, because the whole, and the parts agree, as cause and effect, Expos.

fect, or effect and cauſe. But the parts conſidered one with another are diſſentanies: *Logicke*, and Invention, and Iudgement, are effect and cauſe. Invention and judgement are oppoſits, Adverſatiues.

9 *Therfore the Diſtribution is ſo much the more accurate, perfect, by how much greater, the agreement of the parts is with the whole, and the diſagreement of them one with another.*

Expoſit. Since the parts muſt be all one with the whole, the more they agree, the better is the thing diſtributed; and ſince the parts muſt diſagree among themſelues, the more they diſagree the rightlier they are made divers parts; as thoſe, that cannot be the ſame.

CHAP.

CHAP. XXV.

Of Distribution by the Causes.

The first Distribution, is by arguments absolutely consentany; that is, by the causes, and effects. 1

A distribution by or into the causes, is, when the parts are the [a] *causes of the whole.* 2

[a] *Causes.*] Those causes whereof it consists; or whereof the whole is made; as when *Logicke* is parted into Invention and Iudgement, Man into soule and body, Grammar into Etymologie and Syntaxe. Expos.

Here, that is in this kind of distribution, the distribution into the [a] *integrall and members is most commended.* 3

[a] *Integrall*] *Integrum* in Latine, the whole; but such a whole, as is constituted or made by the parts, which are called members; wee might not vnfitly perhaps call it the body, which Expos.

which is made of the members: but the word is ſomewhat too particular.

4 *The integrall is the whole, to which the parts are eſſentiall.*

Expoſit. To which the parts giue eſſence or being. As *Logicke* is nothing elſe, but Invention and Iudgement, by which it is conſtituted, or made to be: ſo, man is nothing, but ſoule and body. Therefore the members are, in order of nature, before the integrall; as the cauſe is conceived to be before the effect.

5 *A member is a part of the Integrall.* Such a part, as is eſſentiall to it; for every member containeth part of the matter; all together containe the forme alſo.

Examp. *So Grammar is divided into Etymologie and Syntaxe: Rhetoricke into Elocution, and Action: Logicke into Invention and Iudgement; for theſe arts are conſtituted or made of theſe parts.*

6 *This Diſtribution is chiefly vſed, when we haue ſome long diſcourſe*

to

to make, as in Orations and Sermons.

This kind of argument is sometimes otherwise handled: either from the parts to the whole; or from the whole to the parts. 7

Some men count Iudith faire: I thinke not so;
For streight, white, tall, I am content shee goe:
These parts I grant the whole, faire, I deny,
Sweet, grace, and favour none in her I spie.
Mary is faire; all faire from top to toe;
Sweet, grace, and favour, in her onely flow.
Catullus.

Here the whole, faire, is denyed, because some part, sweete, grace, or favour, is wanting. Exposit.

CHAP. XXVI.

Of distribution by the Effects, where of Genus and Species.

Distribution by the effects is, when the parts are effects. 8

OF other Shepheards, some were running after their sheepe strayed beyond Examp.

beyond their bounds; some delighting their eyes with seeing them nibble upon the short, and sweet grasse; some medicining the sicke ewes; some setting a bell for an ensigne of a sheepish squadron; some, with more leasure, devising new games of exercising their bodies, and delighting their minds. Arcad. lib. 1.

Expos. The Shepheards are here divided by their effects, running, looking, medicining, &c.

2 *Of all distributions of* [a] *this kinde, that of the* [b] *Genus into the Species excelleth.*

Expos. [a] *Of this kind*] Where the parts are effects. [b] *Genus*] The generall or kind into the specialls, or sorts.

3 *The Genus, or generall, is the whole that is* [a] *essentiall to the parts.*

Expos. [a] *Essentiall*] Gives being or essence to the parts; quite contrary to the Integrall, which receiveth being from the parts.

4 *The Species, speciall, or sort, is a part of the Genus, or generall.*

Such

Sucha part as receiveth being from it.

So, art is the generall or genus to Grammar, Logicke, Rhetericke, Arithmeticke, Geometry, and the reſt. For it cõtaineth the generall eſſence of al theſe; that is, an orderly fram of precepts, for inſtruction in ſomthing profitable to man. So is Grammar, for ſpeech; Rhetoricke, for pleading; Logicke for reaſoning; Arithmetick for numbring; Geometry for meaſuring; and every one of theſe hath this common nature, eſſence, or being, from Art; that it is ſuch a frame. For therefore it is ſuch a frame, becauſe it is an art.

Examp.

Expoſit.

The generall is either Soveraigne, or Supreame, Subiect, or Inferiour. 5

The Speciall is either Middlemoſt, or Loweſt. 6

The Supreame genus is that, which hath no genus aboue it.

So in Logicall Invention, an Argument, is the Supreame generall, of arguments artificiall, and Inartificiall: For there is none aboue it.

The

The Inferiour generall, and ſo the ſpeciall, is that, which is inferiour to one, and ſuperiour to another.

Expoſi. As in *Logicke*, an artificiall argument is inferiour to an argument; but ſuperiour to Primitiue, and Derivatiue arguments. Therefore an Argument (as I ſaid before) is the ſupreame or moſt generall; Artificiall is inferiour to it, but ſuperiour to every one, that followes in that ranke; and ſo is every one of them, to as many as come after them, in the ſame ranke; ſo that, all but an argument, are inferiour generalls, or middle ſpecialls; for thoſe two are all one; called ſpecialls, in reſpect of the generalls aboue thē; generalls, in regard of the ſpecialls vnder them.

The loweſt ſpeciall is that, which cannot be diuided into other ſpecialls. Such are the matter, and the form of this or that particular;

Expoſi. As of this man, of that Lyon, or the like. The body of a man, or Lyon, may be diſtributed into divers parts;

parts; but they are members of an integrall, not ſpecialls of a generall.

The generalls, and the ſpecialls are badges of the cauſes, and effects: as in a living creature, there is a corporeall, or bodily ſubſtance, which is the matter, belonging ioyntly to man and beaſt.

For each of theſe is a bodily ſubſtance.

There is beſides, a facultie of life, and ſenſe; which is a forme that pertaineth æqually to the ſpecialls, Man and beaſt. For each of theſe hath life and ſenſe.

Therefore the generalls containe the cauſes, which belong ioyntly and æqually to their ſpecialls; and contrariwiſe, their ſpecialls containe the effects of their generalls.

Hereupon the generall is famous & excellent; as that, which declareth the cauſe. For it ſheweth the cauſe of the ſpecialls; which is ſhut vp in the generalls, and given by them to the ſpecialls. Expoſit.

The distribution of the generall into the specialls, is indeede very excellent, but a *hardly and seldome to be found out.*

Expos. a *Hardly*] Because the formes of the specialls, are not easily knowne; and we haue no terme to expresse the generalls, and specialls.

Yet for a *illustration and example sake, we will set downe, what wee can.*

Expos. a *Illustration*] To make the matter cleare and plaine.

Examp. *Living creatures are divided by the* Poët *into six kinds,* Angels, Feinds, Men, Beasts, Fowles, Fishes.

In heaven the blessed Angels haue their being;
In hell, the Feinds appointed to damnation:
To Men, & beasts, earth yeelds firm habitation;
The wing'd Musicians in the ayre are fleing.
With finnes the people gliding,
Of water haue the enioying. Dav: Ode: 9.

It is all one, whether we divide the generall into the specials, or into the formes of the specialls; because the

the formes and generalls together, make the ſpecialls.

So that, if you adde the formes of the ſpecialls, to the generals, you haue the whole nature of them. For example; *Of living creatures, ſome*[a] *ſpeak, ſome are dumbe.* Expoſit.

[a] *Speake*] This is not a diſtribution by the formes, but by the proper adjuncts, following neceſſarily from the formes; and therfore is put in ſtead of a diſtribution by the forme. Perhaps wee may put this for an example; of Arts, one teacheth to ſpeake well; another to reaſon well; a third to number well; a fourth to meaſure well. Art is the generall; Grammar, Logick, Arithmeticke, Geometry, the ſpecialls; in ſtead whereof wee put ſpeaking well, reaſoning well, numbring well, meaſuring well, which are their formes. Expoſit.

Sometime the generall and ſpecials, are otherwiſe handled; ſo that the one is concluded from the other.

So Tully in his Oration for Archias the Poet, Examp.

Poët, cõcludeth from the generall, which is Art, that Oratory and Poëtry, are as it were of a kind.

Ovid contrariwise, argues the generall by the specialls.

In this distresse, let vertue be thy stay:
Where danger stops, there glory makes her way.
While Troy had peace, braue Hector was not knowne;
In publike troubles, vertues force is showne.
If Seas be calme, what neede we Typhis skill?
What's Phœbus art, when men are healthfull still?
While fortune smiles, braue vertue hidden lies:
But when shee frownes, it shines in all mens eyes.

Ovid. Trist. 4.

Expos. Here the *Poët* proveth the generall, that, Adversitie sheweth true vertue; by three specialls: *Hectors* valour was made knowne in his Countryes danger; *Typhis* shewed his skill in stormes; *Phœbus* giues proofe of his art in sicknesse.

Therefore speciall examples, applyed to their generall, belong to this place.

Examp. *By faith, the Elders were well reported of &c. By faith, Abell offered &c.*

By

By faith, Enoch was taken away, &c. Heb. 11. 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. &c.

Chap. XXVII.

Of Distribution into the Subjects.

There remaineth to be handled the distribution of arguments, which are consentany after a sort; as subiects, and aaiuncts: Distribution by the subiects is, when the parts are subiects. 1

Thou shalt not make the likenesse of any thing, that is in heaven aboue, or in the earth beneath, or in the water that is vnder the earth. Exod. 20. 4. Examp.

Creatures are divided by their subject places, wherein they abide, Heaven, Earth, Water. Expoſit.

The Cities of Refuge, in the land of Israell, are divided according to the subiect place; three on this side Iordan, three beyond. Examp.

Having therefore gifts differing, according

ding to the grace that is given to vs; whether Prophecy, let vs prophecy according to the proportion of faith; or Miniſtery, let vs wait on our Miniſtery; or he that teacheth, on teaching; or he that exhorteth, in exhortation; he that giveth, let him doe it with ſimplicitie; he that ruleth, with diligence; he that ſheweth mercy, with chearfulnes. Rom. 12. 6. 7. 8.

Expoſit. The gifts are diſtributed according to the objects; wherein they are employed; prophecy, miniſtery, teaching, exhorting, giving, ruling, ſhewing mercy.

CHAP. XXVIII.

Of diſtribution by the adjuncts.

1 *Diſtribution by the Adiuncts is, when the parts are Adiuncts.*

Examp. *Of men, ſome are whole, ſome are ſicke; ſome rich, ſome poore.*

To one is given by the Spirit the word of wiſdome; to another the word of knowledge; to another faith; to another the gifts of healing; to another working of Miracles;

Miracles; to another Prophecy; to another discerning of spirits; to another divers kindes of tongues; to another the interpretation of tongues. 1 Cor. 12. 8. 9. 10.

Here the faithfull are divided, according to the gifts bestowed, which are adjuncts. Expos.

The Amalekites dwell in the land of the South; and the Hittites, and the Iebusites, & the Amorites dwell in the mountaines, and the Canaanites dwell by the Sea, and by the coasts of Iordan. Num. 13. 20.

CHAP. XXIX.

Of a Definition.

A Definition is, when we declare what a thing is. The declaration of the nature of a thing, is the definition thereof. 1

The definition may be argued by the thing defined; as the thing is argued by it. 2

A definition is perfect, or vnper- 3

fect. That is properly called a definition; this a description.

4 A perfect definition, is a definition that consists onely of those causes, which constitute or make the essence of the thing; that is, of the matter and forme.

According hereto, Man is defined, A reasonable living creature. By the generall, living creature (as was said) we understand a bodily substance, full of life and sense, which is the matter of a man, and part of the forme: to which if you adde reasonable, you shall haue the whole forme of a man, in the facultie of life, sense, and reason.

Therefore a perfect definition is nothing else, but a generall marke, or badge of the causes, which make the essence, or the nature of the thing.

Such are the definitions of Arts.

Examp. Grammar is an art of speaking well.

Rhe-

Rhetorick is an art of pleading well. Logick of reasoning well; Arithmetick of numbring well; Geometry of measuring well.

CHAP. XXX.

Of Description.

Description is a definition, which describeth, or sets out, a thing, even by other arguments. Though the matter and forme be not knowne, or set downe. 1

As a man is a living creature, mortall, capable of learning. In this description of a man, two adjuncts (the one proper) are mingled, with some cause of his being; namely, the generall matter, *living creature.* Examp.

But this brevitie, or shortnes, is not alwayes used, in this kind of defining; but oftentimes wee haue a more famous and goodly declaration. 2

I saw a woman sit vpon a skarlet coloured beast, full of names of blasphemy, having Examp.

ving ſeaven heads, and ten hornes: and the woman was arayed in purple, and skarlet, and girded with gold and precious ſtones, and pearles, and had a cup of gold in her hand full of abominations, and filthineſſe of her fornications; and in her forehead a name written; A myſterie, Great Babylon, the mother of whoredomes, and abominations of the earth; And I ſaw the woman drunken with the blood of Saints, and with the blood of the Martyrs of Ieſus. Revel. 17. 4. 5. 6.

Salomons ſong is full of theſe deſcriptions: eſpecially Chap. 5. verſ. 10. to the end. And Chap. 6. from verſ. 3. to the 10.

There grew an aged tree on the greene,
A goodly Oak ſometime had it beene;
With armes full ſtrong & largely diſplay'd,
But of their leanes they were diſaraid.
The body bigge and mightily pight;
Throughly rooted, and of wondrous height.
Whilome had beene King of the field,
And Mochell muſt to the husband did yeeld.
And with his nuts larded many a ſwine,
But now the gray moſſe had marr'd his rine.

His

His bared boughs were beaten with ſtormes;
His top was bald, and waſted with wormes:
His honour decayed, his branches ſere.
Shepheards Kalend. Febr.

Such are the deſcriptions of plants, and living creatures in naturall Philoſophy; alſo of rivers, mountaines, and cities, in Geographie.

CHAP. XXXI.

Of a Divine Teſtimony.

Having declared an artificiall argument, I come now to an Inartificiall argument. 1

An Inartificiall argument is that, which argueth not, of [a] *its owne nature, but by ſtrength taken from ſome* [b] *artificiall argument.* 2

Expoſit.

[a] *Its owne nature*] Artificiall arguments argue, or declare a thing, of their owne nature; The primitiue haue force to argue, both in, and of themſelues. The derivatiue in themſelues, but not of themſelues. The in-

ar-

artificiall haue no ſtrength at all to argue, either of, or in themſelues.

[b] *Some artificiall*] Namely, from the efficient cauſe, or author of it; vpon whoſe credit, all the ſtrength of an inartificiall argument dependeth.

3 *Therefore, when the* [a] *exact truth of a thing is narrowly ſought after, it hath very little force, to proue any thing.*

Expoſit. [a] *Exact*] The exact or perfect truth is narrowly ſought for, when we deſire to know *διότι*, the reaſon how a thing commeth to be ſo; and in this inquiry no teſtimony can giue ſatisfaction. For it doth no more but ſay, It is ſo. The diuine teſtimony is ſufficient to breed certainty, yea moſt ſufficient: but it is euidence, and cleerenes we ſeek for in the ſearch of truth; that we may ſee, how, and why it is ſo.

4 *But in ciuill and humane affaires, this argument, for the moſt part, hath ſpeciall force to perſwade, from the qualities of him that is witneſſe:*

witneſſe: if he be wiſe, vertuous, and a friend.

It is called in one word a teſtimony. 5

If it be with an oath, it is in Law tearmed a *Depoſition*; I thinke of laying a mans hands on the booke, when he ſweareth. Expoſit.

A teſtimony is Divine or humane. 6

Among Divine teſtimonies, the Heathen reckon not onely the Oracles of their Gods; but alſo the anſwers of their Prophets and Soothſayers. So do the *Papiſts* the *Decrees* of generall *Councels*, and of the Pope in his Conſiſtory. 7

I will take examples from the Oracles of the onely true God.

Of the tree of the knowledge of good & evill, thou ſhalt not eat. For the day, that thou eateſt thereof, thou ſhalt die. Gen. 2. 17. Examp.

I will put enmitie betwixt thee and the woman, betwixt thy ſeede and her ſeede. It ſhall bruiſe thy head, and thou ſhalt bruiſe his heele. Gen. 3. 15.

This

This is my beloved Sonne, in whom I am well pleased. Mat. 3. 17.

Whosoever beleeveth, and is baptized, shall be saved. Mark. 16. 16.

CHAP. XXXII.

Of an hnmane testimony.

1 *An humane, or mans testimony, is common, or proper.*

2 *Common, as a Law written or unwritten; and a famous sentence.*

Examp. *I make a Decree, that in every dominion of my kingdome, men tremble, and feare before the God of Daniell; for he is the living God, and remaineth for ever; and his kingdome shall not perish, and his dominion shall be everlasting.* Dan. 6. 26.

I make a Decree, that every people, nation, and language, which speake any blasphemy against the God of Shadrach, Meshach, and Abednego, shall be drawne in peeces, and their houses shall be made aiakes; because there is no God, that can deliver after this sort. Dan. 3. vers. 29.

Famous

Famous ſentences are Proverbs. 3

Let not him that girdeth on his ſword, boaſt himſelfe, as he that putteth it off. 1 King. 20. 11. Examp.

Phyſician heale thy ſelfe. Luk. 4. 23.

The ſayings of wiſe men, which are alſo proper.

A good name is rather to be choſen than great riches. Prov. 22. 1.

Teſtimonies of living men, are not onely, when there is quæſtion of land, ſlaughter, debt, treſpaſſe, and ſuch like; but alſo obligations, confeſſions, and oaths, are teſtimonies.

A pledge or pawne, is a kind of obligation.

A confeſſion is either free, or forced by torture.

Hitherto alſo may that argument be referred, when we proue that we ſay by experience.

Swearing alſo is a teſtimony.

Here the arguing from the teſtimony to the witneſſe, is not clearely and evidently true; that, Becauſe the

the teſtimony is true, therefore the witnes alſo is a ſpeaker of truth.

A ſpeaker of] He is *verax*, a ſpeaker of truth, who by an inward habit, vertue, or qualitie, is inclin'd and fitted to ſpeak the truth alwayes. A man may, vpõ occaſion, for hope of good, or feare of hurt, ſpeake truth, and yet be no ſpeaker of truth. This onely followes vpon a true teſtimony, that he, which delivereth it, is in that particular, a true witneſſe.

THE

THE SECOND BOOKE of RAMVS Logicke.

CHAP. I.

What Iudgement is.

Hitherto we haue handled the first part of Logick, *called* Invention, *we come now to the second, termed Iudgement.* 1

[a] *Iudgement is a* [b] *part of Logicke, touching the* [c] *disposing of arguments, that we may Iudge* [d] *well.* 2

Exposit.

[a] *Iudgement*] By Iudgement, wee meane the doctrine, that teacheth vs to dispose, or joyne Arguments together; whereas Invention sheweth onely the nature of them severally. [b] *Part*] Such a part, as the soule and body are of man; which giue being to it; For (as I haue often sayd) Logicke is nothing but

Invention & Iudgement. [c] *Disposing*] That sheweth vs, how Arguments are to be coupled, and set in order, each with other. [d] *Well*] As indeede wee ought to Iudge, according to truth; this is the end of disposing, or joyning arguments together.

3 *For* [a] *every thing is to be iudged according to certaine* [b] *rules of disposition.*

Exposit. [a] *Every thing*] No true judgement can be given of any thing according to *Logicke*, vnlesse arguments be so disposed as art requires. [b] *Rules of*] If we would judge of truth and falshood; we must joyne the arguments together, according to the rules of an Axiome or proposition. If we would know; what followeth vpon this or that, what doth not; wee are to set downe our propositions after the rules of a Syllogisme. Would we vnderstand, whether things be orderly handled, or no? Let vs haue recourse to the precepts concerning Method.

[a] *Hereof*,

[a] *Hereof, this part of Logicke is called both Iudgement and Diſpoſition; the ſame thing being ſignified by both.* 4

[a] *Hereof*] Becauſe the end is judging, and the meanes to judge is diſpoſing: therefore this part hath both theſe names. Expoſit.

Chap. II.

Of the affirming or denying of an Axiome.

[a] *Iudgement is* [b] *Axiomaticall, or Dianoëticall.* 1

[a] *Iudgement*] The rules, whereby we are taught to diſpoſe Arguments, & ſo to judge of them. [b] *Axiomaticall*] Ἀξίωμα in Greeke ſignifieth properly, authoritie, dignitie: with *Logicians* it is taken either for ſuch a propoſition, or ſentence, as is commonly knowne to be true; or generally for any propoſitiõ whatſoever; in which ſenſe, *Tully, Ramus*, and many other vſe it.

These rules are either concerning an *Axiome*; which onely setteth downe the arguments joyned together; or *Dianoëticall*, which discourseth of them, some way or other; as shall appeare when wee come to that part.

All disposing of arguments is either without discourse, or with it; without it; when seing the arguments set downe in a propositon, wee either yeeld to it as true, or refuse it as false, or quæstion it as doubtfull; of truth and falshood apparant, a propositio n judgeth sufficiently; when doubt ariseth, we are faine to come to discourse. Other way of judging there is none; Therefore all judgement is *Axiomaticall*, or *Dianoëticall*.

2 *An Axiome is the* [a] *disposing of* [b] *one argument with another, wherby we iudge, that a thing* [c] *is, or is not.*

[a] *Disposing*] It is called disposition, or disposing, rather then judgement; because this floweth out of that; we judge by disposing.

[b] *One*

[b] *One argument*] For the parts of an *Axiom* are arguments; and here appeareth the vſe of Invention, which ſheweth vs the nature of arguments. For if we know not the nature of the ſeverall arguments, how ſhall we be able to judge, whether they be rightly diſpoſed or no, and ſo, whether the *Axioms* be true or no?

[c] *Is, or is not*] Severall arguments cauſe no judgement at all, as, ſnow, white; but if we joyne them together, and ſay, ſnow is white, it is judged, that ſomthing is; if we ſay ſnow is not white, judgement is given, that ſomething is not.

Is, and is not, are largely to be taken, for all action, paſſion, all times, &c. as; *Ioab* kild *Abner*; where the act, and the time paſt is noted. *The Sonne of man muſt ſuffer many things*; where the paſſion, and time to come is ſignified. Neither doe theſe words onely note being, or not being; but farther teach vs, that ſomething is this, or that; as ſnow is white, giues vs to vnderſtand, that whiteneſſe is ſayd to belong to ſnow.

3

An *Axiom* is called in Englisſh, a propoſition, a ſentence, a ſpeech.

Ramus ſayth nothing of the parts of a propoſition; becauſe it is manifeſt, that the two arguments, make the two parts of it. The former is named by *Ramus* the Antecedent part, of *antecedere*, to goe before; the other conſequent of *conſequi*, to follow.

Ariſtotle calles the one the Leading tearme, the other the following.

Some name the former the 1. Leſſe terme, or extreame. 2. The ſubject. Leſſe; becauſe it is argued by the other; the ſubject, becauſe it is the object, or thing, to which the other is joyned, or which is declared; terme, or extreame; becauſe it is, as it were, one bound of the propoſition; namely, in the forepart.

The latter is called the 1. Greater terme, or extreame; becauſe the other is declared, or made knowne by it: 2. the Prædicate; as you would ſay, that which is proclaimed, or publiſhed of the former. 3. The attribute, that which is attributed, given, put, added

added, to the other to declare it.

That, which joynes these two arguments together, is called by *Ramus* the Bond, or Band; by other *Logicians* the Couple.

An Axiome is [a] *Affirmed, or* [b] *Denyed.* 4

[a] Affirmation by some is termed Composition, because it joyneth the parts together.

[b] Negation is called Division; because it divides the parts asunder.

Affirmed, when the [a] *band of it is affirmed; Denyed, when it is denyed.* 5

[a] *Band*] When the consequent is tyed to the antecedent by the band, it is affirm'd; when it is severed from it, by denying the bond, it is denied.

From [a] *hence ariseth the* [b] *contradiction of Axioms; when the same axiom is affirmed and denyed.* 6

[a] *From hence*] From the affirming, or denying the bond, or Couple.

[b] *Contradiction*] Gainsaying, of *con-*

tradicere, to say against, or contrary to that which was said.

Chap. III.

Of a true and false Axiome.

1 *Secondly, an Axiome, or proposition, is True, or False.*

2 *True, when it saith, [a] as the thing is. False, contrary.* When it saith otherwise, then the thing is.

[a] *As the thing is*] Truth is the æqualitie, or agreement betwixt the thing, and the vnderstanding; if wee conceiue the thing, as indeede it is; wee conceiue the truth, or truly, & rightly of it. From which conceit of ours, speech followeth, and is true, or false accordingly.

3 *A true Axiome is Contingent, or Necessary.*

4 [a] *Contingent, when it is in such sort true, that it may also at some time be false.*

[a] *Contingent*] The word is commonly

ly vſed for that which happeneth, and is not ſuch as it is, by any neceſſitie of nature.

Philoſophers, & eſpecially Schoole-Divines, call all truth *Contingent*, which hath not abſolute neceſſitie of being; therefore all truth concerning created things, is with them *Contingent*; becauſe it might haue pleaſed God, that thoſe things ſhould haue beene otherwiſe. That which concerneth God in himſelfe, is abſolutely neceſſary. For it could by no meanes haue beene otherwiſe.

——*Fortune ſends ayd to the ventrous.*

Admit this be true to day, to morrow, or another time it may be falſe.

Therefore the iudgement of ſuch contingent truth, is termed opinion; which may be certainly known to a man, in reſpect of things paſt, and preſent; But the nature of things to come, will afford no ſuch certaintie; although [a] *all times are preſent in reſpect of God.*

[a] *All times*] Man cannot certainly know

know what is to come, vnleſſe God reveale it to him, as he hath done many things in the Scriptures; But God can; the reaſon whereof *Ramus* thinketh (as the Schoolemen out of *Boetius* commonly hold) is, that, All times are preſent to GOD, and ſo all things in thoſe times certainly knowne, as being in his ſight.

6 *A neceſſary Axiome is, when it is alwayes true, and cannot be falſe*; as God is immortall. *Such an Axiom if it be affirmed, is called* Καθὰ παντός, *of* [a] *all*, or the whole.

[a] *Of all*] When the conſequent, or latter part of the propoſition is truly affirmed of all, or the whole antecedent, or former part.

7 *An Axiom neceſſarily falſe, is called an Impoſsible Axiom.* Which cannot poſſibly be true at any time. *As God is mortall.*

8 *Rules or* [a] *Precepts of Arts, ought to be in this ſort generall.*

[a] *Precepts*] Becauſe *Logick* inſtructs and

and fits vs for the learning of all arts. *Ramus* thought it needfull to deliver rules to that purpose. Now where should they be taught, but in the generall doctrine of an *Axiom*, before we come to the specials: since in some arts, namely, Mathematicks, we must set downe, as well compound, as simple *Axioms*, which are the species or sorts of an *Axiom*.

This rule requires, that every precept of an art be true; because wee looke for the true knowledge of the art in the severall Precepts. Therfore also must they be necessarily true; that they may breede judgement in vs, not opinion; which is vncertaine.

Lastly; it is necessary they should be affirm'd. For precepts which are denying *Axioms*, may perhaps shew vs how to avoyd some errour, but cannot make vs know the truth.

They must also be Homogeny, and Catholike. 9

An [a] Homogeny axiom is, when one 10

of

of the parts is [b] *essentiall to the other; as the forme to the thing formed; the subiect to the proper adiunct; the generall to the speciall: This is called* Καθ' αὑτὸ *of it selfe; when the cõsequent part belongeth to the antecedent, of it selfe or essentially.*

[a] *Homogeny*] ὁμὸς γένος, of the same kinde. [b] *Essentiall*] Giue essence, or being; as in the examples; the forme makes the thing formed to be that it is. The proper adjunct floweth from the forme naturally. The generall giues being to the specialls. Rules of art must be thus essentiall, or els they cannot teach vs true knowledge, which consisteth in knowing the essence of things.

11 *A* [a] *Catholike Axiom is, when the consequent is* [b] *alwayes true of the antecedent; not only of the* [c] *whole, and of it* [d] *selfe, but also* [e] *interchangeably.* Καθ' ὅλου πρῶτον. *First* [f] *of the whole.*

[a] *Catholike*]

[a] *Catholike*] Is as much as vniuerſall; Καθ' ὅλου, according to the whole. [b] *Alwayes*] Therefore it muſt be neceſſarily true; ſo that it cannot at any time be falſe: this is the firſt thing in this *Axiome*. [c] *Of the whole*] Of euery part of the whole; ſo that there is nothing cõceiued by the antecedent, to which the conſequent doth not agree: this is the ſecond. [d] *Of it ſelfe*] The conſequent muſt be true of the antecedent naturally, and properly, not by occaſion or accident: ſo is the forme of the thing formed; ſo the proper adjunct of the ſubiect. [e] *Interchangeably*] So that the whole antecedent is in like ſort true of the conſequent; and will argue it, as it is argued by it: as in the examples.

Examp.

A man is a liuing creature indued with reaſon. Euery liuing creature indued with reaſon is a man. Here is the forme arguing the thing formed, and the thing formed arguing the forme.

Number is euen or odd: whatſoeuer is euen or odd is number. Here we haue the proper adjunct, *euen, or odd*; affirmed

firmed of the ſubject; the number; and the ſubject contrariwiſe of the proper adjunct.

A Wolfe doth naturally howle; whatſoever doth naturally howle is a Wolfe. Here alſo is the proper adjunct coupled with the ſubject to declare it; and in like ſort the ſubject with the proper adjunct. [f] *Firſt of the whole*] That is vniverſally firſt.

12 *Theſe are the three lawes that muſt be kept in framing rules of Art; The firſt is called the law of truth,* becauſe it requires, that the *Axiom* be neceſſarily true, in the agreemẽt of the parts, antecedent, and conſequent.

The ſecond is named the Law of Iuſtice; becauſe it will haue every one haue his due, and not abide, that thoſe things be coupled together, which agree not by their very eſſence, or nature.

The third is termed the Law of Wiſdome; becauſe precepts or rules thus fram'd, breed true and certaine knowledge. *And*

And the [a] *iudgement of* [b] *such true and Catholike axioms, is the* [c] *first and most true knowledge.* 13

[a] *The iudgement*] That is bred in vs by the vnderstanding, and beleeving such manner of *Axioms*. [b] *Such true*] *Axioms* in such manner true and Catholike. [c] *First*] Either because it is the knowledge of principles, vpon which all the other dependeth ; or perhaps (as *Aristotle* speaketh) proper : For many times he calleth that which is proper, first.

CHAP. IIII.

Of a simple Axiom.

And thus much of the [a] *common affections of an axiom : the* [b] *kinds of axioms follow.* 1

[a] *Common affections*] Affections are, as it were properties ; how then are they common? As laughing & speaking are proper to mankind ; but common to every singular man, one

one and other. [b] *Kinds*] The diverſe kinds and ſorts of *Axioms* are next to be handled: the affections or properties of affirming and denying of truth and falſhood, doe not make diverſe kinds of propoſitions; but note certaine things belonging to all kinds; ſo that every kind is either affirmed, or denyed, true or falſe.

2 *An axiom is ſimple or Compound.*

A ſimple axiom is that, the [a] *band whereof is a* [b] *Verbe.*

[a] *Band.*] This band is as it were the forme of an *Axiom*; therefore as the band differeth, ſo doth the thing it ſelfe. Therefore there is good reaſon to make divers kinds of *Axioms*, according to the diverſitie of the band, or couple. [b] *A verbe.*] That word in Grammar or ſpeech is a Verbe, which ſignifieth doing, ſuffering, or being. *He that loveth me keepeth my commandements*: there are two verbs in this ſentence, *loveth*, and *keepeth*; both which note ſome action or doing. *The Lord Ieſus was crucified.* Here the verbe

verbe is, *was crucified*; For wee haue not one word, as the *Hebrewes*, *Grecians*, and *Romanes* haue, to expreſſe the verb paſſiue, or ſuffering. *Ieſus Chriſt is God.* The verbe here is the word *Is*, which noteth being.

In every *Axiom* there is one verbe or other, as ſhall be ſhewed.

Therefore the axiom is affirmed or denyed, as the verbe is: as Fire burneth. This axiom is affirmed, or affirmatiue, becauſe the verbe burneth, the band of it, is affirmed. 3

Burneth is a verbe actiue, noting doing. *Fire is hot. The verbe Is, is affirmed. Therefore the axiom is affirmed: becauſe the band is.*

Fire is not water: This *Axiom* is denyed or negatiue; becauſe the band *Is* being the verbe, is denyed.

In theſe *Axioms*, fire is the antecedent, or former part of the *Axiom*; burneth the conſequent, including or conteining the verb, or band in it. In the ſecond; the conſequent is, *hot*: in the third, *water*.

4 *Here haue we the first kind of disposing or ioyning arguments made knowne to vs by Invention: as in the first example, Fire burneth; The cause with the effect. In the second. Fire is hot: The subiect with the adiunct. In the third, Fire is not water; two dissentanies, Aduersatiues one with another.*

5 *And* [a] *thus may any argument (except* [b] *full comparisons and distributions) be set downe, in a proposition;* [c] *Consentanies affirmatiuely, Dissentanies negatiuely.*

[a] *Thus*] In a simple *Axiom*, making the verbe the band. [b] *Full comparisons*] For such comparisons and distributions, must needs haue a conjunction to couple them together.

[c] *Consentanies affirmatiuely*] Consentany arguments must be joyned together by way of affirmation, or affirming; dissentany by way of negation, or denying. This of consentany ar-

arguments, is to be thus vnderstood; if you argue a consentany argument by a consentany, you must affirme the one by the other; as *Paul* writ diverse Epistles; but if you will deny the effect of the cause, or shew that this effect belongeth not to that cause, you must deny the one of the other; as *Paul* writ not divers Epistles. *Paul* and *writing Epistles* are cause & effect; in the one I affirme the effect of the cause, and so they are properly consentany; in the other, I deny the same effect of the same cause, and so make a contradiction. Therefore the same arguments remaine; els how should it be the same *Axiom*? And if the *Axioms* be diverse, there can be no contradiction.

A simple axiom is generall or speciall. 6

Generall, when the common consequent is [a] *generally attributed to the common antecedent.* 7

[a] *Generally attributed*] Attributed, prædicated; either affirmed or deny-

ed; To be generally attributed, is to be ſo attributed, that nothing is contained vnder the ſignification of the antecedent, or ſubject, to which the conſequent or prædicate may not truly be applyed. As, *Man is a reaſonable creature.* This is a generall *Axiom*, becauſe there is nothing contained vnder the terme *Man*, which is the ſubject of this propoſition, to which the attribute *Reaſonable creature* doth not belong.

8 *And here contradiction doth not alwayes divide truth from falſhood; but both parts may be falſe in a contingent propoſition.*

No Church in London is fairer then Mary-Wool Church.

Each Church in Londō is fairer then Mary-Wool-Church.

Both theſe propoſitions are falſe; For there are ſome Churches fairer, ſome not ſo faire.

9 *Yea in axioms not contingent alſo, ſometime both parts of the contradiction are falſe:* as,

Every

Every living creature is reasonable.
No living creature is reasonable.
Both false.

A speciall axiom is particular or proper. 10

Particular, when the common consequent is particularly attributed to the antecedent: And this axiom must be contradicted by a generall: as,

1. *Some sinnes are pardonable.*
No sinnes are pardonable.
2. *Some clemency is not praise-worthy*
All clemency is praise-worthy.

A proper axiom is when the [a] *consequent is attributed to a* [b] *proper antecedent:* as, 11

Paul is an Apostle. the contra-
Paul is not an Apostle. diction is

[a] *Consequent*] Whether it be generall or speciall.

[b] *Proper*] That is, singular, or individuall, as it is called; which cannot be divided into lower species, or specialls.

Chap. V.

Of a Copulatiue Axiom.

1 *A Compound axiom is that, the band whereof is a Coniunction.*

THe parts whereof are coupled together by a conjunction. A conjunction is a word that joyneth the parts of ſpeech together; of *coniungere*, to joyne together. This is generally called an Hypotheticall propoſition; though indeed hypotheticall, is one ſpeciall kind of a compound *Axiom*.

2 *Therefore by the affirming, or denying of the coniunction, the axiom it ſelfe is affirmed or denyed.* For the band is the forme of an *axiom*: as hath beene ſaid.

3 *And one part of the contradiction is true, the other falſe.*

One of the propoſitions, that gainſay each other, is true, the other falſe.

4 *A Compound axiom is* [a] *congregatiue, or ſegregatiue; according as the coniunction is.*

[a] *Con-*

[a] *Congregatiue*] Of *congregare*, to gather the flocke together, comes Congregatiue: this sheweth, that the diverse parts in the propolition belong to the same thing.

A Congregatiue axiom disposeth consentany arguments, by [a] *way of affirmation, dissentany by way of negation.* 5

[a] *By way of*] When there be diverse consentany arguments in a proposition, if the one be affirmed of the subject, so must the other be also: and contrariwise; if one be denyed, so must the other be also: If there be diverse dissentanies, the one must be denyed, the rest affirmed; or contrariwise.

And it (a congregatiue *axiom*) 6

is either { *Copulatiue.* / *Connexiue.*

Copulatiue, the coniunction whereof is Copulatiue. 7

Copulare, to couple: *copula*, a couple for doggs: When the band or couple

is a conjunction, that knits the parts of ſpeech together, then the *Axiom* is copulatiue; as,

The Lord Ieſus was delivered to death for our ſinnes, and raiſed againe for our iuſtification. Here are two conſentany arguments, namely, adjuncts: *delivered to death, raiſed againe*; the former is affirmed of our Saviour; therefore the latter muſt be affirmed too.

It is ſaid of the heavenly *Ieruſalem.* Revel. 22. 15. *Without ſhall be dogs, and ſorcerers, and murtherers, & whoremongers, and idolaters, and whoſoever loveth, and maketh a lye.* The contradiction is; *Without ſhall not be dogs, and ſorcerers, and murtherers, &c.*

8 *To make a copulatiue axiom true, it is required, that all the parts thereof be true: to make it falſe, it is enough, that ſome one of the parts be falſe.*

As, if either doggs, or ſorcerers, or murtherers, or whoremongers, or idolaters, or lyers be not without, then the whole propoſition is falſe, which ſayth, they are all without. *Neere*

9

Neere of kind to this is an axiom, wherin there is a[a] relation of qualitie or quantitie; and in such propositions, the [b] relation it selfe is the coniunction.

[a] *Relation of qualitie*] Those propositions, wherein comparatiue arguments are set downe at large, so that the one part hath reference of qualitie or quantitie to the other, are to be referred to the Copulatiue *Axiom*.

[b] *Relation it selfe*] These propositions are coupled together by the relation that is betwixt them; which is in stead of a conjunction.

As a bird, that wandreth from her nest: so is a man, that wandreth from his place. Prov. 27. 8.

Here we iudge of truth & falshood, as if it were a copulatiue axiom: in this sort.

A bird that wandreth from her nest is in danger; and so is a man that wandreth from his place.

The negation, and contradiction is, A man that wandreth from his place,

is

is not as a bird that wandreth from her neſt.

Chap. VI.

Of a Connexe Axiom.

1 *A [a] Connexe axiom is a [b] Congregatiue axiom, the coniunction whereof is a coniunction [c] connexiue.*

[a] *Connexe*] Of *connectere*, to knit together. [b] *Congregatiue*] Therfore all, that was noted before of a Congregative *axiom*, muſt be vnderſtood alſo of a Connexe. [c] *Connexiue*] Grammarians commonly call theſe conjunctions conditionall; and this is properly an Hypotheticall propoſition.

If in this life onely we haue hope in Chriſt, we are of all men moſt miſerable. 1 Cor. 15. 19.

The contradiction to a Connexe propoſition, is properly by a diſcrete *axiom*: wherein alſo the chiefeſt vſe of that *axiom* conſiſts: Although in this

this life only we haue hope in Christ, yet are we not of all men most miserable.

If I yet pleased men, I should not be the seruant of God. Gal. 1. 10.

The contradictory is, Though I yet pleased men; I should be the seruant of God.

2 *This coniunction is sometime more manifestly denyed, by denying the consequence*; by denying, that the latter followes vpon the former: as *I am not by, and by a cut throat, if I keepe company with cut-throats.* Cic: pro: Sext: Rosc.

It doth not presently follow, that if every propositiõ be true or false, then the causes of things are vnchangable. *de Fato.*

3 *For the affirmation implyeth, that if the antecedent be the cõsequent also is: But the negation and contradiction saith, that Although the antecedent be, yet it followes not, that the consequent therefore is.* Where-

4

Wherefore, when you iudge that a connexe axiom is absolutely, simply, and of it selfe, (or of its nature) *true, you shall iudge also, that it is necessarily true: and you shall vnderstand, that this necessitie ariseth, from the necessary knitting of the parts together; which may be, where the parts are false.*

If a man be a Lyon he is fourefooted, is an *axiom* necessarily true: and yet the parts are false: For it is false, that a man is a Lyon; and it is as false that he is fourfooted: yet if you grant the former, that he is a Lyon; you must needs also grant, that he is fourfooted: For every Lyon hath foure feete.

But if the connexion be contingent, and be taken onely as probable, the iudgement we attaine to by it, is but opinion onely: as,

If thou speake kind words to them, they will serue thee for ever. 1 King. 12. 17. This was likely, but not certaine.

There

There is a relation of conſequence, which is of the ſame nature with a connexe axiom: as, ſeeing Tully is an Orator, he can plead well. 5

Such in a manner are theſe:

Whereas there are among you envying, and ſtrife, and diviſions, are you not carnall? 1 Cor. 3. 3.

The contradictory is. Though we haue theſe amongſt vs: yet are we not carnall.

The Phariſies ſayd vnto him. Thou beareſt record of thy ſelfe, thy record is not true. Ioh. 8. 13.

Here the connexion is not expreſſed; but it is manifeſt by our Saviours anſwer, that they ſo diſputed againſt him, as if they ſhould haue ſayd; ſince thou beareſt record of thy ſelfe, thy record is not true: For he anſwers in the next verſe; *though I beare record of my ſelfe; yet my record is true.*

Sometime the conjunction is not expreſſed at all; *yee ſhall not eate of it, neither ſhall ye touch it, leaſt yee die. And the Serpent ſayd vnto the woman, yee*

yee ſhall not ſurely die. Gen. 3. 3. 4. The contradiction is, Although yee eate, yet yee ſhall not dye.

CHAP. VII.

Of a Diſcrete Axiom.

1 *A* [a] *Segregatiue axiom is that, the* [b] *coniunction whereof is ſegregatiue.*

[a] *Segregatiue*] Of *Segregare*, to part or ſevere the flocke; generally to ſeparate. [b] *Coniunction*] That word is a conjunction *Segregatiue*, which ſevereth or divideth the parts of ſpeech each from other.

2 *In this propoſition the parts are ſevered or divided, as not agreeing. Therefore onely diſſentany arguments are diſpoſed in ſegregatiue axioms.*

3 *A ſegregatiue propoſition is either diſcrete or diſiunct.*

4 [a] *Diſcrete, the coniunction whereof is* [b] *diſcretiue.*

[a] *Diſcrete*]

[a] *Discrete*] Of *discernere*, to sever or separate. Hence discerning in English, when a man sees how one thing differs from another. [b] *Discretiue*] A conjunction Discretiue, severeth the parts of the proposition: yet so, that they are signified to differ but in some sort.

[a] *Therefore among the dissentanies, it consists especially of arguments, that are diverse from each other.* 5

[a] *Therefore*] Because it noteth but a small disagreement.

Though I walke through the vale of the shadow of death, I will feare no evill. Psal. 23. 4. *Examp.*

The contradictory is; If I walke through the vale of the shadow of death, I will feare some evill.

Though I be rude in speech, yet I am not so in knowledge. 2 Cor. 11. 6.

The contradictory is; If I be rude in speech, I am so in knowledge.

A discrete axiom is iudged to be true and good, if the parts be not onely true, but also [a] *diverse.* 6

[a] *Diverse*]

[a] *Diuerſe*] It is not enough to the truth and goodneſſe of a diſcrete axiom, that the parts be true; vnleſſe they be alſo diuerſe each from other: otherwiſe it is falſe and ridiculous: as, *Though Paul were an Apoſtle; yet he was ſent by the Lord Ieſus to Preach the Goſpell.* Here both parts be true; *Paul* was an Apoſtle; *Paul* was ſent by the Lord Ieſus to Preach the Goſpell; and yet the propoſition is ridiculous and abſurd; becauſe the parts are not diuerſe. For to be an Apoſtle, and to be ſent by the Lord Ieſus to Preach the Goſpell, are not diuerſe things, but the ſame.

CHAP. VIII.

Of a Disjunct axiom.

1 *A* [a] *diſiunct axiom is a ſegregatiue propoſition, the coniunction whereof is a Diſiunctiue.*

[a] *Diſiunct*] Of *Diſiungere*, to disjoyne, to vnyoak, to ſeparate. A conjunction disjunctiue wholy divideth one part of ſpeech from another.

The

Examp.

The Lord ſhall ſmite him, or his day ſhall come to dye, or he ſhall deſcend into battaile and periſh. 1 Sam. 26.10.

The contradiction is. Neither ſhall the Lord ſmite him, nor his day come to die, neither ſhall he deſcend into battaile and periſh.

No man can ſerue two Maiſters. For either he will hate the one, and loue the other, or hold to the one, and deſpiſe the other. Mat. 6. 24

The contradiction is. Neither will he hate the one, and loue the other: nor hold to the one, and deſpiſe the other.

By contradiction we ſignifie, that there is no neceſſity, that one of them ſhould be true.

Here it is preſumed, that onely one of the things disjoyned is true. Whether it be ſo or no indeed; he that maketh the disjunction, taketh it for granted that it is ſo.

2

If the diſioyning, or ſevering, be [a] *abſolutely true, it is alſo neceſſarily true, & the parts ſevered are*

[b] *op-*

[b] *opposit without any middle.*

[a] *Absolutely*] Not contingently, but simply; so that they cannot be false.

[b] *Opposit*] Are such oppositis, as haue no middle thing betwixt them: therefore they are not disparats, but contraries.

3 *Yet: Although a disiunction absolutely true, be also necessary: it is not necessary, that the parts severally taken be necessary:* as,

A man is good or not good; is a necessary disjunctiō: yet A man is good; is not a necessary *axiom*: Also a man is not good, is not a necessary *axiom*. For these propositions are but contingently true, not necessarily.

4 *But the* [a] *necessitie of the disiunction dependeth vpon the necessary opposition of the parts, not vpon the necessary truth of them.*

[a] *Necessitie of the*] That which maketh the disjunction necessarily true, is, that the parts are necessarily set each against other; whether they be necessarily true or no, if you consider them severally. *Now*

Now a disiunction is for the most part vpon a condition: as, 5

If it be demanded, whether the *Iewes* will free the *Lord Iesus*, or *Barrabas*; because the deputie had resolved, they should haue but one of the two.

If therefore the disiunction be contingent, it is not absolutely true, but onely probable, or likely, *and such disiunctions men ordinarily make:* as 6

Yet though in vaine needs must I speake,
Or els my swelling heart will breake.

Dav: canz: 15.

Neither of these is necessary; though he spake not; yet his heart might not breake.

CHAP. IX.

Of a Syllogisme, and the parts thereof.

Thus haue wee declared the iudgement which is Axiomaticall, or by an axiom, to wit, *of an axiom manifest of it selfe. Now followeth* 1

Dianoëticall, or diſcurſiue, the judgement wee attaine to by diſcourſe.

2 *Diſcourſe is, when one Axiom is drawne out of another.*

3 *And it is either a Syllogiſme, or Method.*

4 *A* [a] *ſyllogiſme is a diſcourſe, wherein the* [b] *quæſtiõ is ſo diſpoſed with the argument, that if the antecedent be granted, it muſt* [c] *neceſſarily be concluded.*

[a] *Syllogiſme*] Of Λογίζομαι, to caſt account, of λέγω, to gather together, when by adding, ſubducting, multiplying, or deviding, we find out what the ſumme, the reſt, the number, or the quotient is. [b] *Quæſtion*] This *Ramus* expoundeth in the words following the definition. [c] *Neceſſarily concluded*] The concluſion of every true ſyllogiſme, neceſſarily followeth vpon the antecedent or former part: ſo that if you grant that, you muſt of neceſſitie yeeld the conſequent, or concluſion.

For

For when an axiom is [a] *doubtfull, it is made a* [b] *quæſtion: for the proofe wherof we haue need of a* [c] *third argumẽt* [d] *diſpoſed with the quæſtiõ.* 5

[a] *Doubtfull*] An *axiom* manifeſt of it ſelfe, needeth no other rules to teach vs how to judge of it, then haue already bin delivered: but if it be doubtfull, ſo that it appeare not plainely, whether it be trûe or falſe, it becommeth a quæſtion.

[b] *Quæſtion*] A matter to be enquired of; *Quærere*, is to enquire, or ſeeke out. [c] *Third argument*] Wee vnderſtand that every propoſition hath two arguments, the one in the former part of it, the other in the latter; if it be not manifeſt, whether theſe agree or diſagree, as in truth they ought to doe, we muſt haue a third, as it were an vmpire. This is called in other *Logicks*, *Medius terminus*, the middle terme; becauſe the arguments are called termes; or *Medium*, the meane, or middle. [d] *Diſpoſed*] How this muſt be don, the precepts following ſhew.

6 *The* [a] *Antecedent*, or former part, *of a ſyllogiſme hath two parts, the* [b] *Propoſition and Aſſumption.*

[a] *Antecedent*] There are two parts of a ſyllogiſme; the former called the antecedent, the latter called the conſequent, or concluſion. The antecedent alſo it ſelfe hath two parts.

[b] *Propoſition*] This word *Propoſition*, is now the third time vſed in *Logicke*. Firſt, it ſignified the former part of a full Compariſon of likeneſſe, which we might haue named the *Reſembler*; becauſe it reſembleth the thing it declareth. Secondly, it is put for an *axiom*; and in this ſenſe it is well known almoſt to every ordinary man: and therefore I thinke it may not conueniently be altered. Thirdly, in this place it ſignifieth the firſt propoſitiō in a ſyllogiſme; and it is reaſonable well knowne by that name, neither haue I any ſo fit to put in the place of it.

7 *The propoſition is the firſt part of the antecedent, wherein* [a] *at the leaſt,*

leaſt, the [b] *conſequent part of the quæſtion is placed with the argument.*

[a] *At the leaſt*] Becauſe in componnd ſyllogiſmes, not onely the conſequent, but the whole quæſtion, is ſet downe in the propoſition. [b] *Conſequent*] The Prædicate, or attribute, which followeth the band or couple, muſt in every ſyllogiſme be placed in ſome part of the propoſition.

This is termd the *Maior* or greater propoſition; becauſe the aſſumption is taken out of it. It is cómonly called the *Maior*; without adding propoſition.

The Aſſumption is the ſecond part of the antecedent, [a] *which is* [b] *taken out of the propoſition.*

[a] *Which is*] It may ſeeme, that as the conſequent of the quæſtion was diſpoſed in the propoſition, with the third arguments; ſo the antecedent ſhould be in the aſſumption. But many times, in a connexe ſyllogiſme, there is nothing in the aſſumption,

but the third argument; as, If the Father be a Lord, the ſonne is a ſlaue: But the Father is a Lord; which is the third argument. [b] *Is taken*] In a compound ſyllogiſme, ſometime onely the third argument (as I noted) is taken out of the propoſition, and maketh the whole aſſumption: now becauſe that is the principall thing for proofe, it is ſayd, that the aſſumption is taken becauſe that is taken.

But indeed this manner of diſcourſe is onely in a ſimple ſyllogiſme. The propoſition, which is as it were the foundation of the whole frame, is for the moſt part ſome generall rule of one Art or other; and therefore conteineth the argument, but in a generall manner; the aſſumption takes it out of the Propoſition, and applyeth it neerer to the poynt in quæſtion.

9 *The Conſequent*, or latter part, *of the ſyllogiſme, is that which* [a] *comprizeth the parts of the queſtion, and* [b] *concludeth it: whence it is called*

led the [c] *Complexion and Concluſion.*

[a] *Comprizeth*] In the propoſition and aſſumption, for the moſt part (alwaies in a ſimple ſyllogiſme) there is but one part of the quæſtiō, *viz.* the ſubject or prædicate, the concluſion evermore hath them both. [b] *Concludeth it*] Of *Concludere*, of *Claudo*, to ſhut vp: this taketh the whole quæſtion, and ſhuts it vp, for as much as concerneth that ſyllogiſme. [c] *Complexion*] Of *complecti*, to embrace, or compriſe, is *Complexion*, which compriſeth and concludeth the quæſtion.

If [a] *any part of a ſyllogiſme be wanting, it is called an* [b] *Enthymeme.* 10

[a] *Any part*] It falleth out commonly, that in the writings of learned men, either the propoſition, or the aſſumption, or the concluſion, or ſome one or two of them is not ſet downe.

[b] *Enthymeme*] It is a Greeke word, and hath nothing in it, whereby the nature of the thing is ſignified. For it is nothing but an vnperfect ſyllogiſme.

If

11 *If there be any* [a] *other part beſides theſe three, it is called a* [b] *Proſyllogiſme.*

[a] *Other part*] Somtimes, yea very often, good Authors adde to the propoſition or aſſumption, ſomewhat, which may ſerue to the proofe of that to which it is added. [b] *Proſyllogiſme*] I can giue no ſufficient reaſon of this name; vnleſſe I ſay it ſignifieth as much as For a ſyllogiſme; as if this proofe were in ſtead of a ſyllogiſme, to make the *Axiom* good.

12 *Alſo the order of the parts is often confounded:* the parts being placed otherwiſe, then they ſhould be; as the aſſumption or concluſion firſt, the propoſition laſt.

13 *If any doubt ariſe hereupon, the things* or axioms *that are wanting muſt be ſupplyed; thoſe that are ſuperfluous, muſt be cut of; and every part is to be ſet in his due place.*

CHAP. X.

Chap. X.

Of a Contract simple syllogisme.

A syllogisme is simple, or compoũd. 1

These are the severall kinds of *Syllogismes.*

Simple, where the Consequent part of the question is placed in the proposition, the antecedent in the assumption: This is called *Categoricall.* 2

And it is affirmatiue, when all the parts are affirmatiue. Negatiue, when either part of the antecedent is Negatiue, and the Conclusion. 3

In an affirmatiue syllogisme, all parts, proposition, assumption, and conclusiõ must be affirmed: It is enough to make a negatiue syllogisme, that either proposition or assumption be denyed, and the conclusion, though the other part be affirmed.

A syllogisme is generall, when the proposition, and assumption are generall. Speciall, when either of them 4

them is ſpeciall. [a]*Proper, when both are proper.*

[a] *Proper*] Proper is here taken Grammatically, when there is ſome proper name; other *Logicians* call it *Singular*, which is the loweſt, or moſt ſpeciall *Species*, according to *Ramus*; to other *Individuum*, that cannot be divided into any inferiour *Species*, or into any, that are more ſpeciall.

5 *A ſimple ſyllogiſme hath the parts contracted or explicated.*

6 *A* [a] *contract ſyllogiſme is, when the argument, brought as an* [b] *example, is ſo applyed to the* [c] *particular queſtion, that it is the* [d] *antecedent in both parts, and the aſſumption* [e] *affirmed.*

[a] *Contract*] Of *contrahere*, to draw together; it is ſo called, becauſe the parts of the ſyllogiſme are as it were cruſht vp together. [b] *Example*] There are foure things required to a contract ſyllogiſme: the firſt, that the argument be brought by way of example.

ample. [c] The ſecond thing is, that the queſtion be a particular *Axiom.* [d] *Antecedent*] The third is, that the argument be the ſubject or former part, both in the propoſition, and aſſumption. For ſo we conceiue vpon the hearing. [e] *Affirmed*] The laſt is, that the aſſumption be affirmed.

Some confidence is a vertue; as conſtancy.
Some confidence is not a vertue; as audacionſnes. Exam

Here the quæſtion is particular; *ſome confidence is a vertue:* the argument is brought by way of example, *as conſtancy*: which is all one, as if we ſhould ſay, for example, *conſtancy*. Vpon the hearing or thought of this propoſition, we ſtreight way conceiue, that *conſtancy*, which is the argument, *is a vertue*: and that the ſame *conſtancy* is *confidence*; as if it were ſayd in expreſſe termes; that *conſtancy* is a *vertue*, and that it is *confidence*; and in this manner of our conceiving, we make the argument *conſtancy*, the antecedent in the propoſition, and aſſumption. So in the negatiue example. Laſtly, the aſſumption is affirmed.

Thus

7 *Thus* [a] *vſe*, practiſe, *in reaſoning, which is the rule of ſyllogiſticall iudgement*, or judging by a ſyllogiſme, *hath* [b] *contracted this ſyllogiſme; not otherwiſe vnfolded* or ſtretched it out.

[a] *Vſe*] The practiſe of learned men, that reaſon well, is one of the breeders of *Logicke*; and therefore is to be followed in teaching *Logicke*. [b] *Contracted*] This is, if not the onely, yet the ordinary courſe of diſputing by this kind of ſyllogiſme; perhaps ſome examples may be found, where it is expreſt at large, in the ſeverall parts: as yet, I thinke, none haue beene.

8 *And this* [a] *expoſition is made by Ariſtotle the* [b] *beginning of a ſyllogiſme, the parts whereof are expreſſed; as if it were of it ſelfe* [c] *more cleare and famous; then iudgment by a full ſyllogiſme.*

[a] *Expoſition*] *Ariſtotle* calleth this kind of reaſoning by applying, a ſpeciall

ciall example to the concluding of a particular quæſtion, ἔκθεσιν, *expoſitionem*; to this *Ramus* hath reference, when he calleth this ſyllogiſme, *expoſition*. [b] *Beginning*] Becauſe this (as I ſhewed in the expounding of it) hath as it were an whole ſyllogiſme in it, eaſily to be diſcerned. [c] *More cleare*] Becauſe it ſheweth vs all at once, at one view, and requireth not any farther enlarging. This is the third figure in *Ariſtotle*. Now figure in *Ariſtotle* ſignifies the diſpoſing of the middle terme with the parts of the queſtion; which may be done three wayes, as we ſee in *Ramus*. The third figure is, when the argument is made the ſubject, or antecedent in both parts; as in a *contract* ſyllogiſme. Some Interpreters of *Ariſtotle*, haue deuiſed certaine words, as they call them, to ſhew how many wayes we may conclude in theſe figures, which they ſignifie by ſeuerall words; wherein *A* notes a generall affirmatiue propoſition: *E* a generall negatiue: *I* a particular affirmatiue: *O* a particu-

particular negatiue. In this third figure, there are six Moods, or severall wayes of concluding; as these six words signifie.

DARAPTI, FELAPTON, DISAMIS, DATISI, BOCARDO, FERISON.

Affirmatiue generall.

DA- *All constancy is a vertue.*
RAP- *All constancy is confidence.*
TI. *Therefore some confidence is a vertue.*

Negatiue generall.

FE- *No audaciousnesse is a vertue.*
LAP *All audaciousnesse is confidence.*
TON. *Therefore some confidence is not a vertue.*

Affirmatiue speciall.

DI- *Some Christians liue wickedly.*
SA- *All Christians are professors of Religion.*
MIS. *Therefore some professors of Religion doe liue wickedly.*

Affirmatiue speciall.

DA- *All beleevers shall be saved.*
TI- *Some beleevers doubt of their salvation.*
SI. *Therefore some that doubt of their salvation, shall be saved.*

Negatiue speciall.

BO- *Some righteous men are not learned.*
CAR- *All righteous men shall be saved.*
DO. *Therefore some that shall be saved, are not learned.*

Negatiue

Negatiue ſpeciall.

FE- *No wicked liuers ſhall be ſaued.*
RI- *Some wicked liuers are baptized.*
SON. *Therefore ſome that are baptized, ſhall not be ſaued.*

CHAP. XI.

Of the firſt kind of a ſimple explicate Syllogiſme.

In an [a] explicate ſyllogiſme, the propoſition is [b] alwayes generall, or proper, and the concluſion like the [c] antecedent, or [d] weaker part. 1

[a] *Explicate*] *Plicare* is to fold; *explicare* to vnfold: of this, a ſyllogiſme, which is ſpread abroad, the parts of it being layd out to ſight, is called *explicate.* [b] *Alwayes*] Namely, where the ſyllogiſme conſiſts of ſimple propoſitions. [c] *Antecedent*] The propoſition and aſſumption: namely, like them, in affirming, or denying; in generalitie, or ſpecialitie; if they be affirmatiue, ſo is the concluſion; if

negatiue, that is negatiue; if they be generall, that is generall; if they be ſpeciall, that is ſo; it is altogether like them, if they be both of the ſame kind. [d] *Weaker part*] If either propoſition or aſſumption be particular, or negatiue, the concluſion is ſo alſo. For the ſpeciall is weaker then the generall, becauſe it is but a part of it; the negatiue weaker then the affirmatiue, becauſe it is a denying of being.

2 *There are two kinds of it. The firſt is, where the argument* [a] *alwayes follows, being* [b] *denyed in one of the parts.*

[a] *Alwayes*] Both in propoſition and aſſumption. [b] *Denyed*] Either in the propoſition, or aſſumption; ſo that one of the parts of the antecedent muſt be negatiue.

This is *Ariſtotles* ſecond figure: the Moods of it are theſe:

CESARE, CAMESTRES, FESTINO, BAROCO.

Generall 1.

CE.

CE- *No man ouercome with paſſion can vſe reaſon well.*

SA- *Every wiſe man can vſe reaſon well.*

RE. *Therefore no wiſe man is ouercome with paſſion.*

This is thus concluded by *Cicero. Tuſc. quæſt.* 3. *A mind diſquieted with paſſion, is not well fitted to doe its dutie. Now the dutie of the mind is to vſe reaſon; and the mind of a wiſe man is alwayes ſo fitted, that he can vſe reaſon well; therefore he is never diſquieted with paſſion.*

Generall 2.

CA- *He that can grieue for ſinne, as it is offenſiue to God, muſt apprehend God as reconciled and loving to him.*

MES- *No man, but he that hath faith, can apprehend God as reconciled and loving to him.*

TRES. *Therefore no man but he that hath faith, can grieue for ſinne, as it is offenſiue to God.*

No man can apprehend God as reconciled and loving to him, till he haue faith; therefore none can grieue for ſinne, as it

is offensiue to God, till he first haue faith. Helpe to happines. part. 3. quæst. 4.

The proposition is here wanting, the other two parts are set downe in expresse words.

Speciall 1.

FE- *None that had knowne the wisdome of God, would haue crucified the Lord of glory.*

STI- *But the Princes of the world haue crucified the Lord of glory.*

NO. *Therefore the Princes of the world, knew not the wisedome of God.*

Wee speake the wisedome of God in a mystery; which none of the Princes of the world knew; for had they knowne it, they would not haue crucified the Lord of glory. 1 Cor. 2. 7. 8.

Speciall 2.

BA- *Whosoever is of God, heareth Gods word.*

RO- *But yee heare not Gods word.*

CO. *Therefore yee are not of God.*

He that is of God, heareth Gods words; yee therfore heare them not, because yee are not of God. Ioh. 8. 47. Here

Here the propoſition is fully expreſſed. The concluſion of our Lords diſputation is manifeſt by the Text, that the *Iewes* who refuſed him for the *Meſſias*, were not of God, but of their father the Devill, *verſ.* 38 41. 44.

The aſſumption alſo is evident by the Text; wherein he findeth fault with them, for not hearing the words of God of him. The aſſumption and concluſion are put together; and the concluſion made the cauſe of the aſſumption; that they might bethinke thõſelues whence this his obedience came.

Proper 1.

Peter was not the Diſciple whom Ieſus loved.

Iohn was the Diſciple that Ieſus loved.

Therefore Iohn was not Peter.

Proper 2.

Iudas, that writ the Epiſtle, was the brother of Iames.

Iudas Iſcariot was not the brother of Iames.

Therefore Iudas Iſcariot was not he that writ the Epiſtle.

Chap. XII.

Of the ſecond kind of a ſimple explicate ſyllogiſme.

1 *The ſecond kind of an explicate ſyllogiſme, is when the argument goeth before,* or is the ſubject, or antecedent, *in the propoſition; and followeth affirmed in the aſſumptiõ,* or is the prædicate or conſequent.

This is *Ariſtotles* firſt figure: which hath theſe foure Moods:

BARBARA, CELARENT, DARII, FERIO.

Affirm: Gen:

BAR *Whoſoever is borne of God, overcommeth the world.*

BA- *Every one that beleeveth, that Ieſus Chriſt is the ſon of God, is borne of God.*

RA. *Therefore every one that beleeveth, that Ieſus Chriſt is the Sonne of God, overcommeth the world.*

1 Ioh. 5. 1. *Whoſoever beleeveth that Ieſus is the Chriſt, is borne of God.* ver. 1.

Whatsoever is borne of God, overcometh the world, and this is the victory that overcommeth the world, even our faith, ver. 4. *Who is he that overcommeth the world, but he that beleeveth that Iesus is the Sonne of God?* verl. 5.

Negat: Gen:

CE- *No murtherer hath eternall life abiding in him.*

LA- *Every one, that hateth his brother, is a murtherer.*

RENT. *Therefore none that hateth his brother, hath eternall life abiding in him.*

He that hateth his brother, abideth in death. Whosoever hateth his brother is a murtherer; and ye know that no murtherer hath eternall life abiding in him. 1 Ioh. 3. 14. 15.

By this kind of reason, our Saviour cleares himselfe of Blasphemy.

Whom the Father hath sanctified, & sent into the world, he blasphemeth not, because he saith, I am the Sonne of God.

But Iesus Christ is he, whom the Father hath sanctified and sent into the world.

Therefore he blasphemeth not in saying, he is the Son of God. Ioh. 10. 36.

Ioh. 10. 36. *Say yee of him whom the Father hath sanctified, and sent into the world, Thou blasphemest, because I sayd I am the Sonne of God?*

Affirm: spec:

D A- *Whomsoever God heareth, is of God, and no sinner.*

R I- *But the Lord Iesus Christ God heareth.*

I. *Therfore the Lord Iesus Christ is of God, and no sinner.*

Now we know, that God heareth not sinners; but if any man be a worshipper of him, and doth his will, him he heareth. If this man were not of God, he could doe nothing. Ioh. 9. 31. 33.

Negat: spec:

F E- *None that are borne only according to the flesh, are the promised seede.*

R I- *But some of Abrahams children are borne only according to the flesh.*

O. *Therefore some of Abrahams children, are not the promised seede.*

They

They are not all Israel, which are of Israel; Neither because they are the seede of Abraham, are they all children; but in Isaac shall thy seede be called; That is, they which are the children of the flesh, are not the children of God; but the children of the promise are counted for the seede. Rom. 9. 6. 7. 8.

Affirm: Proper.

The Son of God, and the virgin Mary, is the Messiah.
Iesus Christ is the Son of God, and the virgin Mary.
Therefore Iesus Christ is the Messiah.

Negat: Proper.

Andrew was not brought vp at the feete of Gamaliell.
Thou art Andrew.
Therefore thou wert not brought vp at the feete of Gamaliel.

To this place also belong those syllogismes, which consist of three connexe *Axioms*: as,

If there be no resurrection from the dead, then is our faith vaine:
But if Christ be not risen againe, there

is no resurrection of the dead.

Therefore if Christ be not risen againe, our faith is vaine.

Now that this is a simple syllogisme, and of this kind, it may appeare, by the dispositiō of the third argument, which is the antecedent in the proposition, and consequent in the assumption.

Chap. XIII.

Of the first connexe Syllogisme.

1 *Hitherto of a simple syllogisme.*

A compound syllogisme is a syllogisme, where the [a] *whole quæstion is one part of the proposition, being* [b] *affirmatiue and compound, the argument the* [c] *other part.*

This is an Hypotheticall syllogisme.

[a] *The whole quæstion*] Not onely the consequent part thereof; as in a simple syllogisme.

[b] *Affirmatiue*] The proposition of a compound syllogisme, must be compound, and affirmatiue.

[c] *Other*

c *Other part*] The propoſition conſiſteth of the whole quæſtion, and the argument.

To a *take away in a compound ſyllogiſme, is to make a ſpeciall contradiction.* 2

a *Take away*] It is vſed in compound ſyllogiſmes, and ſignifies as much, as gainſaying, that which you take away by a ſpeciall contradiction.

A Compound ſyllogiſme is connexe, or diſiunct. 3

A connexe is a compoũd ſyllogiſme, the propoſition whereof is connexe. And it is of two ſorts. 4

The firſt ſort of a connex ſyllogiſme, is that, which aſſumeth the antecedent, & cõcludeth the conſequent. The former part or ſubject is the aſſumption; the latter part or prædicate the concluſion. 5

If God ſpared not the naturall branches, he will not ſpare thoſe that are not naturall. Rom. 11. 21. Examp.

But God ſpared not the naturall branches, verſ. 19. *There-*

Therefore will he not ſpare thoſe, that are not naturall. verſ. 20. 21.

Thou wilt ſay then, The branches were broken off, that I might be grafted in. Be not high minded, but feare. *For if God ſpared not the naturall branches, take heed leaſt he alſo ſpare not thee.* Rom. 11. 19. 20. 21.

If ye being evill know how to giue good gifts to your children, much more ſhall your father which is in heaven, giue good things to them that aske him.

But yee being evill know how to giue good gifts to your children.

Therefore God ſhall much more giue good gifts to them that aske him.

So our Saviour Chriſt diſputes *Math.* 7. verſ. 11. the prop: verſ. 11. 10. aſſump. verſ. 7. 8. concluſion.

Of this kind is that ſyllogiſme, though not eaſily to be gathered, wherein our Lords diſputation is grounded. *Ioh.* 9. 41.

If yee were blind, you ſhould haue no ſinne; but now you ſay, we ſee, therefore your ſinne remaineth.

The diſputation lyeth thus:

If yee wittingly refuse me the Messiah, yee are guiltie of grievous sinne.

But yee wittingly refuse me the Messiah.

Therefore yee are guiltie of grievous sinne.

In stead of the proposition, we haue the proofe of it. *if yee refused me unwittingly, you should not sinne grievously; Therefore if yee refuse me wittingly, yee sinne grievously.* For the assumption also wee haue the proofe of it; namely, their owne confessing, or rather boasting of their knowledge; *yee say we see*; their refusing of our Saviour for the *Messiah*, is shewed through the whole Chapter, from vers. 13. to 34.

Here oftentimes we assume not the same thing, but somewhat, that is greater. 6

As *Cicero* in *Catilin* 1. *If thy parents hated thee, and stood in feare of thee, so that thou couldest by no meanes satisfie them, I suppose thou wouldest convey thy selfe some whither out of their sight:*

Now

Now thy Countrey (which is the common Mother of vs all) *hateth and feareth thee; and hath beene a good while perſwaded, that thou thinkeſt of nothing, but her deſtruction. Wilt thou neither reverence her authoritie? Nor follow her adviſe? Nor feare her power?*

The Syllogiſme is thus framed:

If thy parents hated thee, thou oughteſt to get thee out of their ſight.

The aſſumption ſhould be; *Thy parents hate thee*: in ſtead whereof we haue that which is greater; *thy Countrey, the common mother of vs all, hateth thee; Therefore thou oughteſt to get thee out of her ſight.*

Of this kind is that, *Numb.* 12. 14. *And the Lord ſayd to Moſes, if her father had ſpit in her face, ſhould ſhee not haue beene aſhamed ſeven dayes? Let her be ſhut out of the hoſt ſeven dayes.*

The Syllogiſme lies thus:

If her father had ſpit in her face, ſhee muſt haue beene ſequeſtred from company ſeven dayes.

But now God, that is the common father of vs all, hath ſmitten her with le-

proſie;

proſie; which is more then her fathers ſpitting in her face. Therefore ſhee muſt be ſhut out of the hoſt ſeven dayes.

Perhaps in theſe, and the like ſyllogiſmes, the proofe of the propoſition is put in ſtead of the propoſition it ſelfe; Thus,

If thy Countrey hate and feare thee, thou oughteſt to avoyd her preſence. For if thy parents hated and feared thee, thou oughteſt to haue done ſo; and our Country is the common mother of vs all. If God haue ſtricken her with leproſie, ſhee ought to be ſhut out ſeaven dayes; For if her father had but ſpit in her face, ſhee muſt ſo haue beene ſhut out; and God the father of vs all, hath done more then ſpit in her face.

There is the ſame manner of concluding, when the propoſition implyeth relation of time.

When Paris faire Oenone leaues,
Let Xanthus ſtreames runne to their ſourſe.
Now Paris her of loue bereaues.
Goe ſtreames runne backe againſt your courſe.

As if *Oenone* thus concluded:

If

If Paris forsake Oenone, Xanthus streames shall run backe to their fountaine or spring.

But Paris forsaketh Oenone.

Therefore Xanthus streames shall run backe to their fountaine or spring.

CHAP. XIIII.

Of the second connexe Syllogisme.

1 *The second sort of a connexe Syllogisme, taketh away the consequent, that it may take away the antecedent.*

If the inheritance be of the Law, it is not of promise.

But it is of promise.

Therefore it is not of the Law.

If the inheritance be of the Law, it is no more of promise. But God gaue it Abraham by promise. Gal. 3. 18.

If there had beene a Law given, that could haue given life, verily righteousnesse should not haue beene by the Law.

But

But righteouſneſſe is not by the Law. Therefore there was not a Law given that could giue life.

If there had beene a Law given, that could haue given life, verily righteouſneſſe ſhould haue beene by the Law. But the Scripture hath concluded all vnder ſinne. Gal. 3. 21. 22.

Here in ſtead of the aſſumption, we haue the proofe of it; That the Law ſhuts vp all vnder ſinne; ſo far is it from making righteous. That which maketh all men ſinners, maketh them not righteous. The concluſion in this, and the former Syllogiſme is left out; ſo in the next.

If I regard iniquitie in my heart, God would not heare me.

But God hath heard me.

Therefore I regard not iniquitie in my heart.

If I regard iniquitie in my heart, the Lord will not heare me. But verily God hath heard me, he hath attended to the voyce of my prayer. Pſal. 66. 18. 19.

Here alſo there is a concluſion vpon relation of time.

When Paris leaues to loue Oenone faire;
Let Xanthus ſtreams back to their ſprings repaire.
But Xanthus ſtreames ſhall never back repaire:
Nor Paris leaue to loue Oenone faire.

Paris concludeth thus:
If Paris forſake Oenone, Xanthus ſtreames ſhall runne backward.
But Xanthus ſtreames ſhall never runne backward.
Therefore Paris ſhall never forſake Oenone.

3 *Theſe two kinds of Syllogiſmes, are the moſt vſuall of all.*

CHAP. XV.

Of the firſt Diſjunct Syllogiſme.

1 *A diſiunct ſyllogiſme is a compound ſyllogiſme, the propoſition whereof is diſiunct*, or a diſjunct axiom.

2 *There are two ſorts. The firſt doth take away the* [a] *one, and conclude the other.*

[a] *The one*] For how many ſoever the parts of the diſjunction be, they

they are taken for two onely in this case: as,

Shall seven yeares of famine come vnto thee in thy land? Or wilt thou flee three moneths before thine enemies, while they pursue thee? Or shall there be three dayes pestilence in thy Land? And David said, I am in a great straight. Let vs fall now into the hands of the Lord. 2 Sam. 24. 13.

Either I must haue seven yeares famine, or be three moneths pursued by mine enemies, or haue three dayes pestilence.

But I will not haue seven yeares famine, nor three moneths flight.

Therefore I will haue three dayes pestilence.

Chap. XVI.

Of the second disjunct Syllogisme.

The second disiunct, out of the proposition affirmatiue, [a] in all the parts, [b] assumeth one, and [c] taketh away the rest.

 [a] *In*

[a] *In all the parts*] All the parts of the propoſition muſt be affirmed, none denyed. [b] *aſſumeth*] In the aſſumption you muſt ſet downe one of the parts; whether you will, the former, or the latter.

[c] *Takes away*] In the concluſion you muſt gainſay, or contradict the reſt; Therefore the concluſion is alwayes negatiue.

This onely would I learne of you, Receiued yee the ſpirit by the workes of the Law, or by the hearing of faith? Are yee ſo fooliſh? Having begun in the ſpirit, are yee made perfect by the fleſh? Gal. 3. 2. 3.

Yee receiued the ſpirit either by the workes of the Law, or by the hearing of faith; that is, by the one of them onely, not by both. verſ. 2.

But yee receiued it by the hearing of faith. verſ. 3.

Therefore not by the workes of the Law.

2 *Such a ſyllogiſme is made of a copulatiue propoſition negatiue, and it hath*

hath the force of an affirmatiue diſiunction: as,

It is not both day and night; that is, it is either day or night.
But it is day.
Therefore it is not night.

CHAP. XVII.

Of Method; which according to *Ariſtotle*, is one onely.

1 METhod *is a diſcourſe of diverſe Axioms of the ſame kind, placed according to their manifeſtneſſe in nature; whence the agreement of them, one with another is iudged of, and committed to memory.*

2 *And as in an Axiom wee looke after truth, and falſhood; in a Syllogiſme after conſequence, and inconſequence; ſo we are to haue a care in Method, that that, which is moſt plaine, be firſt ſet downe, and that, which is more obſcure, follow: and thereby indeed order, and confuſion is iudged of.*

So among Axioms of the same kind, that shall be set downe in the first place, which is first absolutely most cleare; that in the second, which is second; that in the third, which is third; and so forward. Therefore Method doth alwayes goe from the generalls to the particulars.

For by this onely way we goe on from things going before, which are altogether, and absolutely easie to be knowne, to the declaring of those things, which follow and are more vnknowne: and this onely Method did Aristotle *teach.*

CHAP. XVIII.

Of the first illustration of Method by the examples of Arts.

But the examples of Sciences, and Arts doe chiefly declare, and chiefly challenge this one Method.

In which though all the rules are generall, and universall, yet the degrees of them are distinguished: and how much the more generall any one shall be, so much the forwarder it shall be placed.

The

The moſt generall ſhall be firſt in place and order; becauſe it is firſt in light, and knowledge.

The middlemoſt ſhall follow; becauſe they are next in clearenes; and of thoſe, they that are moſt known by nature ſhall be ſet firſt, the leſſe knowne ſhall be ſet after.

And at the laſt the moſt ſpeciall ſhall haue their place.

Therefore the definition, which is moſt generall, ſhall be firſt. The diſtribution ſhall follow.

Which if it be manifold, the partition of it into integrall parts ſhall be firſt, then ſhall follow the diviſion of it into the ſeverall kinds.

And the very parts and kinds againe are to be handled, and defined after the ſame order, that they were diſtributed. And if the explication of them be any thing long, they are to be tyed together by bands of tranſition: for this doth refreſh and cheare the Auditour.

And that the thing may be the more familiarly conceived, we muſt vſe a familiar example. Let all the definitions, di-

ſtributions, and rules of Grammar be found out; and every one ſeuerally iudged of: and let all theſe inſtructions be written in ſeuerall peices, and let them be ſhaked and toſſed vp and downe altogether in a pot, as we vſe to doe in a Lottery: here if you would ſeeke what parts of Logick ſhould teach you to diſpoſe, and ſet in order theſe rules ſo confuſedly mingled together: firſt, there is no neede of the places of Invention, ſeing all are already found out: neither of the firſt iudgement of an Axiom, ſeing every Axiom is proved and allowed: neither is there any need of the ſecond iudgment, by a ſyllogiſme: ſeing all controverſies about every particular thing haue beene diſcuſſed, and concluded: onely Method remaineth. A Logician therefore, by the light of artificiall Method, will gather out of this pot, the definition of Grammar (for that is the moſt generall) and ſet it in the firſt place: Grammar is an Art of ſpeaking well. Then he will looke in the ſame pot for a partition of Grammar, and ſet it in the ſecond place: There are two parts of Grammar, Etymologie and

and Syntaxe. Thirdly, he will gather out of the same pot, the definition of Etymologie concerning words, and will ioyne it to that which went before in the third place. Fourthly, he will looke out the definition of a word; letters and syllables; and the kindes of it, words with number; and without number; and will set transitions at the ends, in their due places. And so he will set in order the definitions of all the parts of Etymologie, the distributions, ioynings of one to another, last of all, the most speciall examples in every particular. And the same will he doe in Syntaxis. This course have all Arts appointed for themselues.

Chap. XIX.

Of the second illustration of Method, by the examples of *Poëts*, *Orators*, and *Historiographers*.

BVt Method is not onely vsed in the matter of Arts and Sciences, but in all

all things, which wee will easily and clearely teach.

Therefore Poëts and Oratours, and generally all Writers, as often as they take vpon them, to teach their auditour, will follow this course, though they doe not altogether keepe themselues to it.

Examp. Examples of this we haue in every Sermon; and in every booke, that is orderly written. So *Chaucer* in *Troïlus* divides his *Poëm* into two parts: his change from griefe to joy, and then againe from joy to griefe: the former in the three former bookes: the latter in the two latter.

The double sorrow of Troïlus to tellen,
That was King Priamus *sonne of Troy;*
In loving how his aduentures fellen,
From woe to weale and after out of Ioy;
My purpose is, ere that I part from yee.

It is my purpose before I leaue you, to tell the double aduentures of *Troïlus* in his loue,

In the third Booke he comes to the other part.

——*How*

— How Creſide Troïlus forſooke;
Or at the leaſt, how that ſhe was vnkind;
Muſt hence-forth be the matter of my (Booke.

In the Bookes following, I muſt ſhew how *Creſide* forſooke *Troïlus.*

Oratours affect this order, in their Præface, Narration, Confirmation, and Peroration, and they call it the order of Art, of nature, and of the thing it ſelfe: and ſometime they doe very diligently follow it.

CHAP. XX.

Of the hiding of *Method.*

THIS therefore ſhall be the Method in diverſe Axioms of the ſame kind, which are knowne either by their owne, or ſyllogiſticall iudgement, as often as any thing is clearely to be taught. 3

But when we will after any ſort deceiue our Auditour with delight, or any ſtronger motion, ſome homogeneall axi- 2

oms

omes shall be cast away, as the lights of definitions, partitions, and transitions; some heterogeneall axioms shall be used, as digression from the matter, and long stayings on it; but especially the order of things in the beginning shall be changed: and some things that should goe before, shall be set after them, that should follow.

Therefore in comparison of that rule of perfect Method, this forme some where unperfect, is not onely lame, by reason of some things that are left out, or abounding with superfluous things, but also praeposterous in order, by reason that certaine parts of the matter are misplaced.

Which the Poet doth with a kind of the greater shew of Art, when he purposeth to lead or perswade the people, which is a beast with many heads: he therefore deceiveth them many wayes: he beginneth with the middle, and therein oftentimes comprehendeth the beginning; last of all, he concludes with some uncertaine and unlooked-for event. So doth *Heliodorus* in his *Æthiopian History* of *Theagenes*, & *Cariclæa*. So Sir *Philip Sidney* in his *Arcadia*.

So

So comicall Poets, though they distinguish their Comedies into Acts and Scenes, with great iudgement; yet they make all things seeme to happen by chance.

The Orators referre all to victory; that they may overcome those, against whom they pleade. Therefore (not so much to instruct as to moue) that which is strongest, is alwayes placed first by them; yet so that those things which are as excellent, are kept for the last place, and those that are but so so, are thrust into the middle of the Trompe and Battayle.

(***)

FINIS.